**Ego3 Functions as a Homodimer to Mediate the Interaction between Gtr1-Gtr2 and Ego1 in the EGO Complex to Activate TORC1**
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**SUMMARY**

The yeast EGO complex, consisting of Gtr1, Gtr2, Ego1, and Ego3, localizes to the endosomal and vacuolar membranes and plays a pivotal role in cell growth and autophagy regulation through relaying amino acid signals to activate TORC1. Here, we report the crystal structures of a wild-type and a mutant form of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Ego3. Ego3 assumes a homodimeric structure similar to that of the mammalian MP1-p14 heterodimer and the C-terminal domains of the yeast Gtr1-Gtr2 heterodimer, both of which function in TORC1 signaling. Structural and genetic data demonstrate that the unique dimer conformation of Ego3 is essential for the integrity and function of the EGO complex. Structural and functional data also identify a potential binding site for Gtr1-Gtr2. These results suggest a structural conservation of the protein components involved in amino acid signaling to TORC1 and reveal structural insights into the molecular mechanism of Ego3 function in TORC1 signaling.

**INTRODUCTION**

The target of rapamycin (TOR) proteins are structurally and functionally conserved from yeast to human and belong to the phosphoinositide 3-kinase-related kinase family (Keith and Schreiber, 1995). The mammalian TOR (mTOR) and its orthologs are central regulators of cell growth, proliferation, and differentiation, and have been implicated in many diseases including cancers and diabetes. In all eukaryotes, TOR exists in two distinct multiprotein complexes, TOR complex 1 (TORC1) and TOR complex 2 (TORC2) (Kim et al., 2002; Loewith et al., 2002). In yeast, TORC1 contains Tor1 or Tor2, Kog1, Lst8, and Tco89 (Loewith et al., 2002; Reinke et al., 2004), TORC1 is sensitive to growth factors, energy status, and amino acid supply and functions as a regulator of transcription, protein translation, ribosomal biogenesis, and autophagy (De Virgilio and Loewith, 2006; Guertin and Sabatini, 2007).

Amino acids are potent activators of TORC1 and stimulate the phosphorylation of TORC1 substrates such as S6Ks and 4EBPs in mammals and Sch9 in yeast (Hara et al., 1998; Urban et al., 2007). The conserved Rag GTPases integrate lysosomal amino acid signals via the vacuolar ATPase (Zoncu et al., 2011), and/or cytoplasmic leucine levels via the leucyl-tRNA synthetase (Bonfils et al., 2012; Han et al., 2012), to control TORC1 function (Binda et al., 2009; Dubouloz et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2008; Sancak et al., 2008).

Mammalian cells contain four Rag GTPases, which form heterodimers of either RagA or RagB with either RagC or RagD (Schürmann et al., 1995; Sekiguchi et al., 2001). Rag GTPases do not directly stimulate the kinase activity of mTORC1 in vitro, but regulate the intracellular localization of mTORC1 (Sancak et al., 2008). Amino acid signals induce RagA/B to bind GTP, and the corresponding heterodimers interact with Raptor and mediate relocation of mTORC1 to Rab7-positive vesicles (late endosomes and lysosomes) where mTORC1 can be activated by the small GTPase Rheb (Sancak et al., 2008). No obvious lipid modification signals for membrane attachment have been found in the amino acid sequences of Rag GTPases. Recently, however, it was shown that the Regulator complex consisting of p18/LAMTOR1, p14/LAMTOR2, MP1/LAMTOR3, C7orf59/LAMTOR4, and HBXIP/LAMTOR5, interacts with the Rag GTPases and mediates mTORC1 translocation to lysosomal membranes in response to amino acids (Sancak et al., 2010; Bar-Peled et al., 2012). MP1 and p14 form an almost symmetrical heterodimer and are anchored to late endosomes or lysosomes by p18 through its N-terminal lipid modification (Kurzbauer et al., 2004; Lunin et al., 2004; Nada et al., 2009; Sancak et al., 2010).

*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells express a single Rag GTPase heterodimer, namely, Gtr1-Gtr2, which forms, together with Ego1/Meh1 and Ego3/Slm4, the EGO complex (EGOC) that localizes to the vacuolar membrane (Dubouloz et al., 2005). Depletion of any of the EGOC components leads to failure in recovery from rapamycin-induced growth arrest and causes low TORC1 activity, indicating that these proteins play an important role in cell growth regulation upstream of TORC1 (Binda et al., 2009; Dubouloz et al., 2005). In line with this assumption, GTP-bound Gtr1 combines with GDP-bound Gtr2 to interact with and activate TORC1 via a still elusive mechanism (Binda et al., 2009). Analogous to the role of p18 in the Regulator...
complex in higher eukaryotes, the N-myristoylated Ego1 may serve to properly tether Gtr1-Gtr2 to the vacuolar/lysosomal membrane for proper TORC1 activation (Ashrafi et al., 1998; Reynolds et al., 2009), which involves 20 hydrogen bonds and numerous hydrophobic interactions (Table S1) and buries 2,092 Å² or 20.3% of the total solvent accessible surface area as analyzed by the PROTORP server (Reynolds et al., 2009). The interface is composed of three regions (Figure 1B). In region I, the swapping helix χ1 of monomer B stretches into a hydrophobic groove formed by helix χ3 and strands β1, β2, β3, and β6 of both monomers, which involves 20 hydrogen bonds and numerous hydrophobic contacts with residues of monomer A (Figure 1B, left panel; Table S1). With the 2-fold NCS of the homodimer, the swapping helix χ1 of monomer B has similar interactions with the same structure elements of monomer A. In region II, the N-terminal of helix χ3 and the χ1/β1 loop of one monomer interact with the corresponding structure elements of the other via eight hydrogen bonds and a number of hydrophobic contacts, and vice versa (Figure 1B, middle panel; Table S1). The χ1/β1 loops of the two monomers contribute nearly one-half of the hydrophobic interactions and one-third of the hydrophobic contacts at the dimer interface. Region III is formed between the N termini of the two β3 strands of the two monomers via five hydrogen bonds and several hydrophobic contacts

Table 1. Summary of Diffraction Data and Structure Refinement Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diffraction Data</th>
<th>I-Derivative</th>
<th>Wild-type</th>
<th>M1 Mutant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>1.7712</td>
<td>0.9791</td>
<td>0.9794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>C222₁</td>
<td>C222₁</td>
<td>P4₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
<td>61.52</td>
<td>61.96</td>
<td>60.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (Å)</td>
<td>99.13</td>
<td>99.31</td>
<td>60.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (Å)</td>
<td>158.58</td>
<td>156.11</td>
<td>116.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)</td>
<td>50.0–2.70</td>
<td>50.0–2.10</td>
<td>50.0–2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n(I)/σ(I) (Å)</td>
<td>(2.80–2.70)</td>
<td>(2.18–2.10)</td>
<td>(2.69–2.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>89,071</td>
<td>135,634</td>
<td>58,777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflections (I/σ(I))</td>
<td>13,889</td>
<td>28,959</td>
<td>12,915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique redundancy</td>
<td>6.4 (4.4)</td>
<td>4.7 (4.1)</td>
<td>4.6 (4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average I/σ(I)</td>
<td>21.9 (3.1)</td>
<td>17.1 (2.2)</td>
<td>15.5 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)</td>
<td>98.8 (92.3)</td>
<td>99.5 (98.8)</td>
<td>99.7 (99.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmerge (%)</td>
<td>10.9 (44.6)</td>
<td>8.8 (44.4)</td>
<td>14.7 (43.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Refinement and Structure Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reflections (Fo &gt; 0/ Fo&lt;0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwork/RFree (%/%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of protein atoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of water atoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average B factor (Å²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmsd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond lengths (Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angles (°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran plot (%)b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

bStatistics of the Ramachandran plot was analyzed using MolProbity.
In particular, Tyr96 contributes more than half of the hydrophilic and hydrophobic contacts in this region. Sequence alignment of Ego3 from different species shows that most of the residues of helices $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 3$, and strands $\beta 1$, $\beta 2$, $\beta 3$, and $\beta 6$ that are involved in the hydrophilic and hydrophobic contacts at the dimer interface are strictly or highly conserved, including Val9, Phe12, Leu13, and Leu17 of helix $\alpha 1$, Ser35 and Ile37 of strand $\beta 1$, Ser47 and Tyr48 of strand $\beta 2$, Ser94, Cys95, and Tyr96 of strand $\beta 3$, Leu129 of strand $\beta 6$, and Tyr139, Gly140, Leu141, and Lys145 of helix $\alpha 3$ (Figure S2A). Although the residues of the $\alpha 1/\beta 1$ loop are not conserved, the lengths of the $\alpha 1/\beta 1$ loop (12–15 residues) are comparable (Figure S2A). These conservations suggest that the homodimeric architecture with a swapping conformation of Ego3 might exist in other species and is essential for its biological function. In particular, the $\alpha 1/\beta 1$ loop appears to play a critical role in the formation of the swapping conformation of the dimer and thus might play an important role in Ego3 function.

**Figure 1. Crystal Structure of the Ego3 Homodimer**

(A) Ribbon representation of the Ego3 homodimer with monomer A in yellow and monomer B in cyan. (B) Interactions at the Ego3 homodimer interface. Hydrogen bonds are indicated with dashed lines. Left panel: Interactions of the swapping helix $\alpha 1$ with residues of monomer A. Middle panel: Interactions between helix $\alpha 3$ and the $\alpha 1/\beta 1$ loop of the two monomers. Right panel: Interactions between the N-terminal part of strand $\beta 3$ of the two monomers. (C) Ribbon representation of the Ego3-M1 homodimer with one monomer in pink and the other in light blue. See also Table S1 and Figures S1, S2, and S5.

**Comparison of the Ego3 Dimer with Other Roadblock/LC7 Domain-Containing Proteins**

A structural similarity search in the Protein Data Bank using the Dali server (Holm and Rosenström, 2010) reveals that Ego3 has a high structural similarity to members of the Roadblock/LC7 superfamily (Koonin and Aravind, 2000). This family includes the C-terminal domains of Gtr1 and Gtr2, MP1, p14, and the recently discovered C7orf59/LAMTOR4 and HBXIP/LAMTOR5, which all participate in TORC1 signaling (Cui et al., 2008; Gong et al., 2011; Kurzbauer et al., 2004; Lunin et al., 2004; Sancak et al., 2010; Bar-Peled et al., 2012) as well as a GTPase-activating protein (GAP) MglB homodimer (Miertzschke et al., 2011). For instance, superposition of Ego3 with MP1 and p14 in the MP1-p14 complex (PDB code 1VET) yields an rmsd of...
2.2 Å (80 Cα atoms) and 1.5 Å (84 Cα atoms), respectively. Interestingly, detailed structural comparisons show that the Ego3 homodimer has a similar architecture to the MP1-p14 heterodimer (Kurzbauer et al., 2004; Lunin et al., 2004), the dimeric C-terminal domains of the Gtr1-Gtr2 heterodimer (Gong et al., 2011), and the MglB homodimer (Miertzschke et al., 2011) (Figure 2A). Despite a low sequence similarity, MP1 and p14 adopt a nearly identical overall structure and form an almost symmetrical heterodimer (Kurzbauer et al., 2004; Lunin et al., 2004); the same holds true for the C-terminal domains of Gtr1 and Gtr2 (Gong et al., 2011). Nevertheless, there are some notable differences between the Ego3 homodimer and the MP1-p14 and Gtr1-Gtr2 heterodimers and the MglB homodimer. Specifically, Ego3 has a swapping helix α1, a long α1/β1 loop (15 residues in Ego3, 4 residues in MP1, 5 residues in p14, 2 residues in Gtr1, 3 residues in Gtr2, and 2 residues in MglB), an extra β strand (β3), and a bent central β sheet (Figure S2B). These structural differences make the Ego3 dimer adopt a twisted dimer conformation such that the β3 strands of the two monomers have fewer interactions with each other at the dimer interface, and the α2 helices of the two monomers are positioned far apart without any interaction (Figure 2A). However, the dimer interface of Ego3 is augmented by the swapping of helix α1 and the following α1/β1 loop and the interactions of the α3 helices of the two monomers. Considering that the MP1-p14 heterodimer, the Gtr1-Gtr2 heterodimer, and the MglB homodimer function as dimers, the similarity of the dimeric architecture of Ego3 to these proteins suggests that dimerization of Ego3 is essential for its biological function.

Comparison of the Ego3 Dimer with the Ego3 Tetramer

Recently, a crystal structure of Ego3 was reported in which Ego3 forms a tetramer (PDB code 3LGO) (Kogan et al., 2010) (Figure 2B). Structural comparison shows that although the overall structures of the Ego3 monomers in the homodimer and homotetramer are very similar with an rmsd of 1.3 Å for about 112 Cα atoms (superposition without the N-terminal helix α1 and the following α1/β1 loop), the assemblies of the dimer and the tetramer are significantly different, particularly in the swapping of helix α1 and the conformation of the α1/β1 loop region (Figures 2B and S3). In the structure of the Ego3 tetramer, an asymmetric unit contains one monomer and four crystallographic symmetry-related monomers form a dimer of dimers or a tetramer. The dimeric interface between monomers A and B (or C and D) involves largely hydrophobic interactions between strand β3 and helix α3 of each monomer and buries a total of 775 Å² solvent-accessible surface areas (Figure S3A). This dimeric interface is quite different from that in our Ego3 dimer: although
strand β3 and helix α3 are involved in formation of the dimeric interface in both cases, the orientations of these structure elements, the interaction modes, and the residues contributing to the interactions are completely different (Figure S3A). If one monomer is superimposed, the other would have a rotation of 116° relative to each other (Figure S3B). In the Ego3 dimer, the N-terminal parts of strand β3 of the two monomers interact with each other via several hydrogen bonds, leading to the formation of an extended, intermolecular β sheet. In the Ego3 tetramer, however, no hydrogen-bonding interactions exist between the β3 strands of the two monomers and thus no continuous, intermolecular β sheet is formed. There is only one hydrogen bond between the side chain of Tyr96 in strand β3 of monomer A and the side chain of Arg148 in helix α3 of monomer B at this interface. As Arg148 is not conserved in different species (Figure S2A), the significance of this hydrogen-bonding interaction is not clear. Moreover, the distance between the negatively charged side-chain carboxyls of Glu99 of the two monomers is very close (2.3 Å) which may only be permitted by the special crystallization condition of the Ego3 tetramer (Kogan et al., 2010). In the Ego3 tetramer, the tetrameric interface between the two dimers A/B and C/D is mediated mainly through the α1/β1 loop region and the swapping helix α1. The N-terminal helix α1 is swapped between monomers A and C (and between monomers B and D); the α1/β1 loop region forms a β strand which associates with the equivalents of the other three monomers to form a four stranded, orthogonal β sheet (Figure 2B). This is also quite different from the Ego3 dimer in which the N-terminal helix α1 is swapped between two adjacent monomers A and B, and the long α1/β1 loop lies on the surface and connects helix α1 back to its monomer. Thus, the question arises whether the Ego3 dimer or tetramer is the functional unit or is biologically relevant.

Biological Relevance of the Ego3 Dimer
To investigate the biological relevance of the Ego3 dimer and/or tetramer, we first examined the oligomeric state of Ego3 in solution using size-exclusion chromatography. Previously, Kogan et al. reported that the equilibrium analytical ultracentrifugation data suggested that Ego3 assumes a mixture of different oligomeric states; however, the size-exclusion chromatography data showed that Ego3 attached with or without an N-terminal 6xHis tag exists in a single oligomeric state with a molecular mass in the range of 25–43 kDa (Kogan et al., 2010). Consistently, our size-exclusion chromatography data also showed that the wild-type Ego3 exhibited a single peak with a molecular mass in the range of 25–43 kDa (Figure S1). These results indicate that Ego3 exists as a dimer rather than a tetramer or a mixture of different oligomeric states in solution, which is in agreement with our structural data. The discrepancy between the equilibrium analytical ultracentrifugation and the size-exclusion chromatography results by Kogan et al. is unclear. The Ego3 protein has an N-terminal 6xHis tag in Kogan et al., but a C-terminal 6xHis tag in this study. In the structure of the Ego3 tetramer, both the N and C termini of Ego3 are not involved in dimer or tetramer formation. In the structure of the Ego3 dimer, the swapping N terminus is involved in interactions with the other monomer but the C terminus is not involved in dimer formation. Thus, the positions of the 6xHis tag should have no effects on the formation of the dimer or the tetramer.

The crystals of the Ego3 tetramer were grown in a crystallization solution containing 200–350 mM L-arginine and at a very low pH 3.0 (Kogan et al., 2010). L-arginine is often used as a versatile solvent additive, which is helpful for protein refolding and aggregation suppression. L-arginine at high concentration (i.e., 1 M) can interact with the side chains of almost all amino acids and can reduce hydrogen-bonding and ionic interactions as well as hydrophobic interactions at protein-protein interfaces (Arakawa et al., 2007). In addition, the pH value can also have a great effect on protein-protein interactions. At acidic pH conditions, some ionizable groups, such as the side-chain hydroxy of Tyr, would be protonated and more hydrophobic. Thus, an acidic pH may induce conformational changes of the tertiary structure by reduction of hydrophilic interactions and/or introduction of hydrophobic interactions. For example, an amidasase from the hyperthermophilic archaeon Sulfolobus solfataricus forms a dimer at pH above 8.0 but an octamer at pH below 3.0 (D’Abusco et al., 2005). Thus, it appears to be very likely that the formation of the Ego3 tetramer is an artifact caused by the special crystallization condition, particularly the high concentration of L-arginine and very acidic pH. In other words, the Ego3 tetramer is unlikely to be biologically relevant.

Additionally, as discussed above, structural comparison shows that the Ego3 dimer has a similar architecture to the MP1-p14 heterodimer and the C-terminal domains of the Gtr1-Gtr2 heterodimer, both of which function as dimers in the TORC1 signaling pathway. Furthermore, sequence comparison shows that most of the residues involved in the formation of the Ego3 dimer interface are strictly or highly conserved. Taken all of these results together, we conclude that the Ego3 dimer is the functional, biologically relevant unit.

Functional Importance of the Ego3 Dimer
To investigate whether the unique dimer conformation of Ego3 is required for its function, five mutants named Ego3-M0, Ego3-M1, Ego3-M2, Ego3-M3, and Ego3-M8 were generated (for details, see Table S2). Among these, Ego3-M1, Ego3-M3 and Ego3-M8 were selected for further analyses based on their mislocalization and increased sensitivity to rapamycin treatment in the initial characterization (Figures S4A and S4B). Basically, in the Ego3-M1 mutant, the long α1/β1 loop is shortened such that residues KPYGDKFVSSL are substituted with KPYGSL. A conserved Tyr (Tyr96), located in strand β3, was found to be involved in several hydrophilic and hydrophobic interactions in region III of the dimeric interface. The Ego3-M3 variant contains the same shortened α1/β1 loop as Ego3-M1 and additionally a mutation of this specific Tyr to Glu (Y96E). Of note, the Y96E mutation on its own (Ego3-M2) had no significant impact on Ego3-GFP localization or rapamycin-sensitivity of the corresponding cells (Figure S4). Finally, in the Ego3-M8 mutant, the N-terminal 17 residues including the swapping helix α1 are deleted which was expected to prevent stable homodimer formation.

Attempts to produce recombinant Ego3-M3 and Ego3-M8 proteins have remained unsuccessful (both were expressed as inclusion bodies), thereby precluding further in vitro structural analysis on these mutants. On the other hand, recombinant Ego3-M1 was expressed normally and displayed an unchanged
oligomeric state in solution as judged from size-exclusion chromatography analysis (Figure S1). We therefore went on to determine the crystal structure of Ego3-M1.

The crystal structure of Ego3-M1 was solved using the molecular replacement (MR) method and refined to 2.6 Å resolution in space group P43, yielding a $R_{work}$ of 20.8% and a $R_{free}$ of 25.2% (Table 1). There are also two Ego3-M1 molecules in an asymmetric unit forming a homodimer with a 2-fold NCS (Figure 1C). Superposition of the two Ego3-M1 monomers yields an rmsd of 0.2 Å for 139 Cx atoms. The final structure model of the Ego3-M1 dimer contains residues 6–156 of monomer A except for residues 44–48 and 78–83, and residues 6–156 of monomer B except for residues 44–48 and 80–82.

The Ego3-M1 monomer has an overall structure very similar to that of the wild-type Ego3 monomer; however, the dimer conformation of Ego3-M1 is significantly different from that of the wild-type Ego3 (Figures 1A and 1C). If we superpose one monomer of the Ego3 and Ego3-M1 dimers, the other monomer in the Ego3-M1 dimer has a rotation of 86° in relation to that in the Ego3 dimer (Figure S5). Due to the shortening of the N-terminal $\alpha1/11$ loop, the two monomers rotate toward each other along the axis vertical to the 2-fold NCS. Although the N-terminal $\alpha1$ helix in Ego3-M1 maintains a swapping configuration and has interactions with several structure elements of the other monomer similar to that in the wild-type Ego3, the two $\alpha1$ helices and the side of the dimer where the $\alpha1/11$ loops are located, are pulled much closer to each other. Concurrently, the central $\beta$ sheets and the $\alpha2$ helices of the monomers rotate away from each other and are no longer interacting. As a result, the two monomers are linked together mainly by the swapping $\alpha1$ helix and the $\alpha1/11$ loop of both monomers and the dimer interface is much looser compared to that in the wild-type Ego3. In other words, although Ego3-M1 forms a dimer, the dimer interface is significantly distorted, altering its surface properties.

Next, we analyzed the capacities of the Ego3 variants to homodimerize and bind to the other EGOC components in vivo using the membrane-based split-ubiquitin two-hybrid system. In line with our in vitro data, we found that Ego3-M1 could homodimerize (Figure 3A). However, the presence of the additional Y96E mutation in Ego3-M3 largely impaired its dimerization. Absence of the swapping helix $\alpha1$ also prevented dimerization of Ego3-M8 (Figure 3A). Furthermore, regardless of whether they could dimerize or not, these mutants failed to interact with the vacuolar anchor Ego1 (Figure 3A), and consequently failed to accumulate at the vacuolar periphery (Figure 3B). In addition, all of these Ego3 mutants failed to associate with the GTP-locked forms of Gtr1 and Gtr2 (Figures 3A), which turned out to be the best Ego3-interacting forms in the two-hybrid system (data not shown). Moreover, Ego3-M3-GFP did not coprecipitate with Gtr1-WT-TAP in pull-down experiment (Figure 3C). In parallel, we examined the subcellular localization of Gtr1-WT-GFP in cells expressing these ego3 mutants (Figure 3D). In cells expressing the ego3-M7 mutant, Gtr1-WT-GFP could still concentrate at the vacuolar membrane albeit to a lesser extent. In cells expressing the impaired dimerization mutants (ego3-M3 and ego3-M8), like in ego3Δ cells, Gtr1-WT-GFP displayed a diffuse cytoplasmic staining (Figure 3D). Altogether, these results suggest that dimerization of Ego3 is necessary but not sufficient for association with its partners and localization to the vacuolar membrane; instead, its unique dimer conformation and surface properties matter.

Both abrogation of dimerization or alteration of the dimer surface of Ego3 affect its capacity to interact with the other EGOC components, and hence may affect proper EGOC-mediated TORC1 signaling. To test this possibility, we compared the abilities of the untagged Ego3 mutants to suppress the growth defect and TORC1 activity defect of the ego3Δ strain (Figures 4A and 4B). While Ego3-M1 exhibited partial rapamycin sensitivity compared to the other Ego3 variants tested, all of them failed to recover from a 6 hr rapamycin treatment (Figure 4A). Furthermore, leucine-starved cells responded less promptly (Ego3-M1) or failed to respond to leucine restimulation (other mutants) (Figure 4B), therefore confirming the importance of the unique dimer conformation of Ego3 for optimal EGOC-mediated TORC1 activation.

A Potential Binding Site for Other Components of the EGO Complex

Previous biological data have shown that Ego3 interacts with Gto1 and Gtr1-Gtr2 to form the EGO complex (Dubouloz et al., 2005; Gao and Kaiser, 2006). Our structural data in combination with the mutagenesis data have further shown that the unique dimer conformation of Ego3 is essential for its function and its interactions with Ego1 and Gtr1-Gtr2. Thus, we were tempted to identify potential binding site(s) for these protein partners on Ego3. Sequence comparison shows that Ego3 is conserved in different species (Figure S2A); the residues on the surface side where helix $\alpha2$ is located are more conserved than these on the surface side where helix $\alpha1$ and the $\alpha1/11$ loop are located, and particularly, there are a number of strictly or highly conserved residues in helix $\alpha2$ and the $\beta4/5$ loop on the same side (Figure S6). We speculated that these conserved regions might be potential binding site(s) for Ego1 and/or Gtr1-Gtr2, and thus constructed several Ego3 mutants containing multiple mutations in these regions including Ego3-M4, Ego3-M5, Ego3-M6, and Ego3-M7 (for details, see Table S2 and Figure S6). Ego3-M4 was the only one to show some rapamycin sensitivity (Figure S4) and was therefore selected for further analyses (Figures 3 and 4). Ego3-M4 harbors a quadruple mutation (N67A/N68A/K70A/M71A) in the N-terminal part of helix $\alpha2$. Recombinant Ego3-M4 exhibited an unchanged oligomeric state in solution (Figure S1). Consistent with this, Ego3-M4 was able to homodimerize in two-hybrid assay (Figure 3A). It also interacted with Ego1 (Figure 3A), and as expected, localized to the vacuolar membrane (Figure 3B). However, it showed reduced binding to Gtr2 (Figure 3A) and Gtr1 (Figures 3A and 3C). In agreement with this finding, Gtr1-WT-GFP was not recruited to the vacuolar membrane in cells expressing Ego3-M4 (Figure 3D). Altogether, these results suggest that the N-terminal region of helix $\alpha2$ participates in the binding to Gtr1-Gtr2 and the four mutations in Ego3-M4 impair its binding to the Gtr GTPases.

Possible Functional Roles of Ego3 in TORC1 Activation

The EGO complex functions upstream of TORC1 signaling (Binda et al., 2009). Loss of any of the EGOC components or the TORC1 subunit Tco89 results in an inability to recover from
rapamycin-induced growth arrest (Binda et al., 2009; Dubouloz et al., 2005). Recent studies have revealed the importance of several scaffold proteins in mTORC1 signaling in mammalian cells: p18/LAMTOR1, p14/LAMTOR2, MP1/LAMTOR3, C7orf59/LAMTOR4, and HBXIP/LAMTOR5 form an extended Ragulator complex which, with the Rag GTPases, serves as

Figure 3. Ego3 Relies on Its Unique Dimer Conformation and Surface Properties to Interact with Its EGOC Partners and Localize to the Vacuolar Membrane

(A) Interactions of the Ego3 variants (baits) with the same Ego3 variants, or with Ego1, Gtr1-GTP, or Gtr2-GTP (preys) were assessed using the split ubiquitin-based membrane two-hybrid system (Dualsystems Biotech). For each combination tested, β-galactosidase activity is expressed in Miller units as mean ± SD from at least three independent transformants grown overnight at 30°C. Empty bait vector pCabWT and pD12-Alg5 prey were used as negative controls. n.d., not determined.

(B) Localization of the wild-type and mutant Ego3-GFP. Left panel: Localization of Ego3-WT-GFP was examined in prototrophic ego1Δ ego3Δ gtr1Δ gtr2Δ cells complemented for all the other EGOC components except the one indicated. Right panel: Localization of the Ego3-GFP variants was assayed in prototrophic ego3Δ cells expressing the corresponding Ego3-GFP mutant protein.

(C) TAP pull-down assays were performed on lysates of cells expressing either untagged Gtr1-WT or Gtr1-WT-TAP together with the indicated mutant forms of Ego3-GFP, Gtr2-WT-V5, and Ego1-GST. Coprecipitated proteins were eluted by TEV cleavage. Input and pulldown fractions were analyzed by western blot and probed with the indicated antibodies.

(D) Localization of Gtr1-WT-GFP was examined in prototrophic ego3Δ cells expressing the indicated Ego3 variants.

See also Tables S2–S4 and Figures S1, S4, and S6.
a docking site for mTORC1 on lysosomal membrane (Sancak et al., 2010; Bar-Peled et al., 2012). MP1 and p14 prefer to form a heterodimer rather than homodimers (Lunin et al., 2004). The high structural conservation between the MP1-p14 heterodimer and the Ego3 homodimer suggests that the Ego3 homodimer might be the counterpart of the MP1-p14 heterodimer in yeast.

Based on our structural, biochemical and genetic data, we propose that the unique homodimer conformation of Ego3 is required for its binding to Ego1 and its recruitment to the vacuolar membrane. Moreover, the N-terminal region of its α2 helix represents a binding site for Gtr1-Gtr2, and is critical for the efficient docking of the Gtr GTPases to the vacuolar anchor Ego1. Interestingly, the extended form of the Ragulator complex represents a binding site for Gtr1-Gtr2, and is critical for the phosphorilation level of the TORC1 substrate Sch9 in the indicated leucine auxotrophic strains grown to exponential phase, starved for leucine for 90 min and restimulated with leucine (2.8 mM) for the indicated times. Numbers below represent TORC1 activity in either exponentially growing cells or cells restimulated with leucine for 25 min. WT TORC1 activity was set to 1 in each condition tested. See also Tables S2–S4 and Figures S4 and S6.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Cloning, Expression, and Purification of Proteins**

The Ego3 open reading frame (ORF) was amplified by PCR from yeast genomic DNA and inserted into the NcoI and XhoI restriction sites of the pET-28a expression plasmid (Novagen) which attaches a 6xHis tag at the C terminus of the target protein. The plasmid was transformed into the *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3) strain. The transformed cells were grown at 37 °C in isosoygen broth medium containing 0.05 mg/ml kanamycin until OD600 reached 0.8, and then induced with 0.5 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside at 20 °C for 2 hr. Cells were harvested and lysed by sonication in lysis buffer (30 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5]) and 200 mM NaCl), 6xHis-tagged Ego3 was purified by affinity chromatography using a Ni-NTA column (QiAGEN) with the lysis buffer supplemented with 30 mM imidazole and 200 mM imidazole serving as washing buffer and elution buffer, respectively. The elution sample was further purified by gel filtration using a Superdex 75 10/300 (preparative grade) column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with storage buffer (10 mM HEPES [pH 7.5], 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl2, and 1 mM DTT). The target protein was of sufficient purity (>95%) as determined by SDS-PAGE (12% gel).

Constructs of the ego3 mutants containing point mutations, deletions, or substitutions were generated using the QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Strategene) or the TakaRa MutanBEST Mutagenesis Kit (Takara Biotechnology) following the instruction manuals. Designs of the Ego3 mutants are summarized in Table S2. Expression and purification of the Ego3 mutants were performed as for the wild-type protein.

For construction of pRS414-GTR2p-GTR2-WT-V5-6HIS, the GTR2 promoter and ORF without a stop codon were amplified by PCR from yeast genomic DNA and cloned in frame with the XhoI-BamHI fragment together with a BamHI-NotI PCR fragment encoding V5-6HIS-CYC1 terminator into pRS414 digested with XhoI-NotI.

**Crystalization, Data Collection, and Structure Determination**

Crystallization of the wild-type Ego3 and the Ego3-M1 mutant was performed using the hanging drop vapor diffusion method by mixing 1.5 μl protein solution (about 15 mg/ml) and 1.5 μl reservoir solution at 4 °C. Crystals of Ego3 were grown from drops consisting of a reservoir solution of 35% PEG 3350, 200 mM imidazole serving as washing buffer and elution buffer, respectively. The elution sample was further purified by gel filtration using a Superdex 75 10/300 (preparative grade) column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with storage buffer (10 mM HEPES [pH 7.5], 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl2, and 1 mM DTT). The target protein was of sufficient purity (>95%) as determined by SDS-PAGE (12% gel).

For construction of the Crystal Structure of *S. cerevisiae* Ego3

Crystal Structure of S. cerevisiae Ego3

Figure 4. Ego3 Relies on Its Unique Dimer Conformation and Surface Properties to Mediate Adequate TORC1 Signaling

(A) Prototrophic WT and ego3Δ strains expressing the indicated Ego3 mutants were grown to exponential phase. Serial 10-fold dilutions were spotted on YPD supplemented with vehicle (veh.) or the indicated concentrations of rapamycin. Alternatively, they were treated for 6 hr with 200 ng/ml rapamycin, washed twice, and spotted on YPD (Rap recovery).

(B) In vivo TORC1 activity was assessed by monitoring the phosphorylation level of the TORC1 substrate S6K in the indicated leucine auxotrophic strains grown to exponential phase, starved for leucine for 90 min and restimulated with leucine (2.8 mM) for the indicated times. Numbers below represent TORC1 activity in either exponentially growing cells or cells restimulated with leucine for 25 min. WT TORC1 activity was set to 1 in each condition tested. See also Tables S2–S4 and Figures S4 and S6.
to 2.1 and 2.8 Å resolution, respectively, at −175°C at beamline 17U of Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF), China. The diffraction data were processed, integrated, and scaled together with HKL2000 (Otwinowski and Minor, 1997). The statistics of the diffraction data are summarized in Table 1.

The structure of Ego3 was solved using the SIRAS method implemented in Phenix (Adams et al., 2010). An initial model of 312 out of 324 residues of two Ego3 molecules and 131 water molecules was constructed automatically by ARP/WARP in warp/trace mode (Perrakis et al., 1999). The remaining residues and additional water molecules were built manually using Coot (Emsley and Cowtan, 2004). The structure of Ego3-M1 was solved by the MR method implemented in Phenix. Structure refinement was carried out using Phenix and Refmac5 (Adams et al., 2010; Murshudov et al., 1997). The stereochemistry of the protein models was analyzed using MolProbity (Davis et al., 2007). Structure analysis was carried out using programs in CCP4 (Winn et al., 2011). The figures were generated using PyMol (http://www.pymol.org). The statistics of the structure refinement and the quality of the final structure models are summarized in Table 1.

Plasmids, Strains, and Growth Conditions

Plasmids used in this study are listed in Table S3. Cloning and site-directed mutagenesis were carried out following standard procedures. All constructs were verified by sequencing. Functionality of endogenously expressed untagged and tagged EGOC fusion proteins was confirmed by rapamycin sensitivity, recovery from rapamycin-induced growth arrest, and TORC1 activity assays.

S. cerevisiae strains used in this study are listed in Table S4. Prototrophic strains were used unless otherwise specified. Strains were grown to exponential phase at 30°C in synthetic defined medium with 2% glucose complemented with the appropriate nutrients for plasmid maintenance. When indicated (Rap recovery), they were treated with 200 ng/ml rapamycin for 6 hr at 30°C, washed twice, and serial 10-fold dilutions were spotted on complete rich medium (YPD). For microscopy studies, exponentially growing cells were analyzed as described previously (Talarek et al., 2010).

Sch9 Phosphorylation Analyses

Sch9T575A-HA, C-terminal phosphorylation was monitored following 2-Nitro-5-thiocyanatobenzoic acid -induced chemical cleavage as described previously (Urban et al., 2007; Wanke et al., 2008). To assess TORC1 activity upon leucine refeeding, leucine auxotrophs were starved for leucine for 90 min prior to leucine (2.8 mM) readdition for the indicated times. Quantification of TORC1 activity (extent of Sch9 phosphorylation) was determined as previously described in (Binda et al., 2009) and the TORC1 activity for Ego3-WT was set to 1.

Coimmunoprecipitation Experiments

Lysates from cells expressing untagged Gtr1-WT (Gtr1-WT) or Gtr1-WT-TAP and the other indicated EGOC fusion proteins were incubated with IgG-coated Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare). Pulled-down proteins were released by Tobacco Etch Virus (TEV) protease cleavage overnight at 4°C, precipitated with TCA/acetone, and resolved on 9% gel. Anti-TAP (Open Biosystems), anti-V5 (Invitrogen), anti-GFP (Roche), and anti-GST (Santa Cruz) antibodies along with mouse or rabbit light-chain-specific HRP-conjugated antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch) were used to detect Gtr1-WT-TAP, Gtr2-WT-V5, Ego3-GFP, and Ego1-GST, respectively.
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The crystal structures of the wild-type Ego3 and the Ego3-M1 mutant have been deposited with the Protein Data Bank under accession codes 4FTX and 4FUW, respectively.
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