A clinal polymorphism in the insulin signaling transcription factor foxo contributes to life-history adaptation in Drosophila
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A fundamental aim of adaptation genomics is to identify polymorphisms that underpin variation in fitness traits. In Drosophila melanogaster, latitudinal life-history clines exist on multiple continents and make an excellent system for dissecting the genetics of adaptation. We have previously identified numerous clinal single-nucleotide polymorphism in insulin/insulin-like growth factor signaling (IIS), a pathway known from mutant studies to affect life history. However, the effects of natural variants in this pathway remain poorly understood. Here we investigate how two clinal alternative alleles at foxo, a transcriptional effector of IIS, affect fitness components (viability, size, starvation resistance, fat content). We assessed this polymorphism from the North American cline by reconstituting outbred populations, fixed for either the low- or high-latitude allele, from inbred DGRP lines. Because diet and temperature modulate IIS, we phenotyped alleles across two temperatures (18°C, 25°C) and two diets differing in sugar source and content. Consistent with clinal expectations, the high-latitude allele conferred larger body size and reduced wing loading. Alleles also differed in starvation expectations and expression of insulin-like receptor, a transcriptional target of FOXO. Allelic reaction norms were mostly parallel, with few GxE interactions. Together, our results suggest that variation in IIS makes a major contribution to clinal life-history adaptation.
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Much has been learned about the genetics of fitness traits (e.g., size, lifespan), mainly from studies of large effect mutants and transgenes in yeast, Caenorhabditis elegans, Drosophila, and the mouse (Finch and Rose 1995; Oldham and Hafen 2003; Tatar et al. 2003; Fielenbach and Antebi 2008; Kenyon 2010; Flatt and Partridge 2018), but loci identified in such laboratory analyses do not necessarily harbor segregating alleles that would contribute to genetic variance for traits in natural populations (Flatt 2004; Flatt and Schmidt 2009; Birney 2016; Vonesch et al. 2016; Fabian et al. 2018). In particular, the identity and presumably subtle effects of naturally occurring life-history polymorphisms are poorly known (Flatt and Schmidt 2009; Paaby and Schmidt 2009; Flatt and

ADAPTIVE CLINAL POLYMORPHISM IN DROSOPHILA

Despite conceptual and methodological limitations of the so-called quantitative trait nucleotide program (Rockman 2012), the identification of life-history polymorphisms allows addressing fundamental questions about the genetic basis of adaptation, including: (1) Which pathways and molecular functions underpin variation in fitness-related traits? (2) Are these mechanisms evolutionarily conserved? (3) What are the phenotypic effects of naturally segregating life-history variants? (4) What is the molecular nature of life-history epistasis, pleiotropy, and trade-offs? (5) Do life-history polymorphisms mediate plasticity and how? (6) Is the genetic basis of evolutionary changes in life history “predictable,” that is, relying on variation in the same pathways or genes? Or do life-history traits evolve unpredictably, that is, via different pathways or loci, in different contexts?

A powerful model for dissecting the genetics of life-history adaptation is the vinegar fly Drosophila melanogaster, a species of sub-Saharan African origin, which has migrated out of Africa ~15,000 years ago and subsequently colonized the rest of the world (David and Bochdanovits 2003; de Jong and Bochdanovits 2003; Hoffmann and Weeks 2007; Adrion et al. 2015). During the colonization of new climate zones, this ancestrally tropical insect has undergone a series of life-history adaptations to temperate, seasonal habitats (David and Capy 1988; de Jong and Bochdanovits 2003; Paaby and Schmidt 2009). This is particularly evident in the case of clines, that is, directional patterns of phenotypic or genetic change across environmental gradients. Many studies have documented patterns of latitudinal differentiation among D. melanogaster populations that are presumably driven by spatially varying selection, for example along the North American and Australian east coasts, with the corresponding clines spanning subtropical/tropical and temperate habitats (de Jong and Bochdanovits 2003; Schmidt et al. 2005a,b; Hoffmann and Weeks 2007; Schmidt and Paaby 2008; Kolaczkowski et al. 2011; Fabian et al. 2012; Adrion et al. 2015; Cogni et al. 2017). Clinal trait differentiation has been found, for instance, for body size, fecundity, reproductive dormancy, stress resistance, and lifespan, typically in a parallel fashion on multiple continents, suggesting that these patterns are likely adaptive (Coyne and Beecham 1987; Schmidt et al. 2000; Weeks et al. 2002; de Jong and Bochdanovits 2003; Schmidt et al. 2005a,b; Hoffmann and Weeks 2007; Schmidt and Paaby 2008; Adrion et al. 2015; Fabian et al. 2015; Kapun et al. 2016a).

To begin to identify the genetic basis of life-history clines in D. melanogaster, we have previously performed genome-wide analyses of latitudinal differentiation along the North American cline (Fabian et al. 2012; Kapun et al. 2016b) (also see Turner et al. 2008; Bergland et al. 2014; Reinhardt et al. 2014; Machado et al. 2018). Our analysis based on single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) FSST outliers uncovered pervasive genome-wide patterns of clinality, with hundreds of clinal SNPs mapping to loci involved in the insulin/insulin-like growth factor signaling (IIS)/target of rapamycin (TOR), ecdysone, torso, EGFR, TGF-β/BMP, JAK/STAT, lipid metabolism, immunity, and circadian rhythm pathways (Fabian et al. 2012). Many of the identified variants also exhibit parallel differentiation in Australia (Fabian et al. 2012; Kapun et al. 2016b; also cf. Kolaczkowski et al. 2011; Reinhardt et al. 2014; Machado et al. 2016), thereby strengthening the case for clinal adaptation. However, while many clinal variants might be shaped by selection, some of the observed differentiation might be due to nonadaptive factors, including population structure, demography, admixture, or hitchhiking with causative sites (Endler 1977; Duchen et al. 2013; Kao et al. 2015; Bergland et al. 2016). Identifying adaptive clinal variants as targets of selection thus requires comparing clinal patterns against neutral expectations and—optimally—functional genetic testing (Barrett and Hoekstra 2011; Flatt 2016; Kapun et al. 2016a,b). To date, however, functional analyses of clinal polymorphisms that are potentially subject to spatially varying selection remain scarce (for some exceptions see, e.g., Schmidt et al. 2008; McKechnie et al. 2010; Lee et al. 2013; Paaby et al. 2014; Kapun et al. 2016a; Durmaz et al. 2018; Svetec et al. 2018).

Interestingly, many of the pathways that harbor clinal loci are known from molecular studies to be implicated in the physiological regulation of life history in organisms such as_C. elegans, Drosophila, and the mouse (see Tatar et al. 2003; Fielenbach and Antebi 2008; Flatt and Heyland 2011; Flatt and Heyland 2011; Flatt et al. 2013; and references therein). In particular, we found strongly clinal SNPs in multiple components of the IIS/TOR pathway, including SNPs in Drosophila insulin-like peptide genes disp3 and disp5, insulin-like receptor (InR), phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bis-phosphate 3-kinase (PI3K), forkhead box-O transcription factor foxo, the foxo regulator 14-3-3s, target of brain insulin (tobi), tuberous sclerosis complex 1 (Tsc1), and target of rapamycin (Tor) (Fig. 1; Fabian et al. 2012; Kapun et al. 2016b). This pattern is compelling because loss-of-function mutations in the IIS/TOR pathway have major, evolutionarily conserved effects on growth, size, reproduction, lifespan, and stress resistance in Drosophila, _C. elegans_, and the mouse (Kenyon et al. 1993; Gems et al. 1998; Böhni et al. 1999; Brogiolo et al. 2001; Clancy et al. 2001; Kenyon 2001; Tatar 2011). Although adaptation genomics can in principle quite readily identify such candidate polymorphisms, a major—but rarely accomplished—objective is to experimentally validate these candidates as genic targets of selection (Barrett and Hoekstra 2011; Turner 2014; Flatt 2016; Siddiq et al. 2017). Thus, with a few exceptions, examples of causative life-history variants remain rare (Schmidt et al. 2008; McKechnie et al. 2010; Paaby et al. 2010; Jones et al. 2012; Johnston et al. 2013; Méndez-Vigo et al. 2013; Paaby et al. 2014; Barson et al. 2015; Catalán et al. 2016; reviewed in Mackay et al. 2009; Barrett and Hoekstra 2011).
clinal candidates in the insulin/TOR signaling pathway thus represent promising candidates for mediating life-history variation in natural populations. Here we provide a comprehensive examination of the life-history effects of a clinally varying polymorphism in the forkhead transcription factor gene foxo of D. melanogaster (Fig. 1), a major regulator of IIS that is homologous to C. elegans daf-16 and mammalian FOXO3A. Molecular studies—mainly in the fly and nematode—have shown that FOXO plays a key role in regulating growth, lifespan and resistance to starvation, and oxidative stress (Jünger et al. 2003; Libina et al. 2003; Murphy et al. 2003; Kramer et al. 2003; Puig et al. 2003; Kramer et al. 2008; Hwangbo et al. 2004; Puig and Tijan 2005; Flielenbach and Antebi 2008; Mattila et al. 2009; Slack et al. 2011). Moreover, genetic association studies in humans have linked polymorphisms in FOXO3A to longevity in centenarians (Flachsbart et al. 2009; Willcox et al. 2018).

Because many fitness-related traits affected by IIS/TOR also exhibit phenotypic clines, it is tempting to hypothesize that natural variation in this pathway contributes to life-history clines, especially with regard to body size (de Jong and Bochdanovits 2003); yet, the evolutionary significance of natural variants in this pathway is poorly understood. An exception is an indel polymorphism in the D. melanogaster InR gene, which varies clinally along both the North American and Australian east coasts and which has multifarious life-history effects (Paaby et al. 2010, 2014). Consistent with the idea that IIS polymorphisms contribute to adaptation, natural variation in adult reproductive dormancy in D. melanogaster has been connected to the Pi3K gene (Williams et al. 2006), and work in Caenorhabditis remanei has identified a global selective sweep in the Caenorhabditis homolog of Pi3K, age-1 (Jovelin et al. 2014). Multiple lines of evidence also indicate that insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) signaling mediates physiological life-history variation in vertebrate populations (Dantzer and Swanson 2012; Swanson and Dantzer 2014). Together, these findings suggest that allelic variation in IIS/TOR might profoundly affect life-history adaptation, but experimental evidence remains scarce (Flatt et al. 2013; Flatt and Partridge 2018).
partly—latitudinal clines (e.g., de Jong and Bochdanovits 2003; Kapun et al. 2016b; and references therein), and because both diet and temperature modulate IIS (e.g., Britton et al. 2002; Kramer et al. 2003; Puig and Tijan 2005; Giannakou et al. 2008; Telemann 2010; Puig and Mattila 2011; Li and Gong 2015; Zhang et al. 2015), we phenotyped replicated population cage cultures of the alternative alleles at two temperatures (18°C, 25°C) and on two commonly used diets that differ mainly in their sugar source (sucrose vs. molasses) and content.

Measuring reaction norms to assess phenotypic plasticity and genotype-by-environment interactions (G × E) for this variant is interesting because still relatively little is known about whether and how clinality and plasticity interact (James et al. 1997; de Jong and Bochdanovits 2003; Hoffmann et al. 2005; Levine et al. 2011; Overgaard et al. 2011; Chen et al. 2012; Cooper et al. 2012; Zhao et al. 2015; Clemson et al. 2016; Mathur and Schmidt 2017; van Heerwaarden and Sgrò 2017). For example, D. melanogaster feeds and breeds on various kinds of rotting fruit, with the protein:carbohydrate (P:C) ratios exhibiting spatiotemporal variation (Lachaise et al. 1988; Hoffmann and McKechnie 1991; Markow et al. 1999; Keller 2007), but how dietary plasticity affects traits in a clinal context remains largely unclear. Similarly, the interplay between thermal plasticity and adaptation is incompletely understood (e.g., de Jong and Bochdanovits 2003; Overgaard et al. 2011; Mathur and Schmidt 2017; van Heerwaarden and Sgrò 2017).

We give predictions for the expected phenotypic effects of the foxo variant in terms of clinality, plasticity, and the physiology of IIS in the Methods section. Our results show that the foxo polymorphism affects multiple fitness components according to these predictions and suggest that it contributes to clinal life-history adaptation; we also find that the alternative alleles respond plastically to temperature and diet but with little evidence for G × E.

**Methods**

**IDENTIFICATION AND ISOLATION OF THE FOXO POLYMORPHISM**

We identified two strongly clinal SNPs in foxo in the genomic data of Fabian et al. (2012) by using an F<sub>ST</sub> outlier approach: an A/G polymorphism at position 3R: 9892517 (position in the D. melanogaster reference genome version 5.0; F<sub>ST</sub> = 0.48 between Florida and Maine) and a T/G polymorphism at position 3R: 9894559 (F<sub>ST</sub> = 0.42 between Florida and Maine) (Fig. S1A; see Fabian et al. 2012 for details of outlier detection). The A/G polymorphism is a synonymous coding SNP, predicted to be located in the PEST region of the FOXO protein, which serves as a protein degradation signal (analysis with ExPASy [Artimo et al. 2012]; Fig. S2). The T/G SNP is located in the first intron of foxo, with no biological function attributed to this position (Attrill et al. 2016).

Although our initial identification of these SNPs was based on only three populations (Florida, Pennsylvania, Maine; Fabian et al. 2012), both SNPs are also strongly clinal in a more comprehensive dataset from 10 populations along the cline (Betancourt et al. 2018), collected by the Drosophila Real Time Evolution Consortium (Bergland et al. 2014; Kapun et al. 2016b; Machado et al. 2018). The frequency of the high-latitude (HL) allele (A, T) for this 2-SNP variant ranges from ~10% in Florida to ~70% in Maine; conversely, the alternative low-latitude (LL) allele (G, G) is prevalent in Florida but at low frequency in Maine (Fig. S1A). Because the two foxo SNPs are located relatively closely to each other (~2 kb apart; Fig. S1A), we decided to study them experimentally in combination, as alternative 2-SNP alleles. Indeed, as shown in Fig. S1B, the two focal foxo SNPs are in perfect linkage disequilibrium (LD; r<sup>2</sup> = 1), without any significant LD in-between the two sites. Although these SNPs do not fall outside the empirical neutral distribution (based on 20,000 SNPs in short introns), they do fall in the tails of the distribution (Betancourt et al. 2018); further work will thus be required to assess whether the allele frequency observations are consistent with neutral demographic history or if a model of spatially varying or balancing selection needs to be invoked.

To isolate the two alternative foxo alleles for experiments, we used whole-genome sequenced inbred lines from the Drosophila Genetic Reference Panel (DGRP; Mackay et al. 2012) to reconstitute outbred populations either fixed for the LL (G,G) and the HL (A,T) alleles. This “reconstituted or recombinant outbred population” (ROP) or “Mendelian randomization” approach produces populations that are consistently and completely fixed for the two alternative allelic states to be compared, with the rest of the genetic background being randomized (see Behrman et al. [2018] and Lafuente et al. [2018] for examples using this method). For each allele, we used two independent sets of DGRP lines (sets A and B for HL; sets C and D for LL; each set consisting of 20 distinct lines) and two replicate population cages per set, giving a total of eight population cages (Fig. S3, Table S1). ROP cages were established from the DGRP lines by Betancourt et al. (2018); F2 flies were transferred to Lausanne for establishing cages at our laboratory.

Genomic analysis of the DGRP lines used to set up the ROP cages showed that sets A and B versus sets C and D were completely fixed (F<sub>ST</sub> = 1) for the HL and LL alleles, respectively; this also showed that, although there exist other SNPs that are strongly differentiated (F<sub>ST</sub> > 0.5) between the HL and LL populations, the majority of them are different between the independently replicated sets (blocks) of DGRP lines used to make the HL versus LL contrast (Betancourt et al. 2018). Such SNPs, which are specific to a given set of lines, do not make a consistent contribution to the overall HL versus LL contrast.
The most parsimonious interpretation of our results is therefore that the effects reported below are caused by the two foxo SNPs that we have studied. However, we cannot completely rule out that other (causative) sites are potentially in long-range LD with our focal SNPs (see Fig. S1B). A conservative interpretation of our results is thus to view the two focal foxo SNPs as representing “tags” or markers for functionally significant variants segregating at the foxo locus that are in LD with the causative site(s), similar to those used in genome-wide association studies (e.g., Wang et al. 2010).

**POPULATION CAGES**

Population cages were maintained at 25°C, 12:12 h light:dark, 50% relative air humidity, and controlled larval density. Larval density was kept constant via egg collections (200–300 eggs per bottle [6 oz. = 177 mL]; 10 bottles per cage), with eclosing adults being released into cages (17.5 × 17.5 × 17.5 cm; BugDorm®, MegaView Science Co., Ltd., Taichung, Taiwan) at a density of ~2000–2500 adults per cage. Prior to the phenotypic assays, population cages were kept for 10 generations to allow for free recombination among lines within each cage and allelic state and to homogenize (randomize) differences in genomic background between the two allelic states to be compared. Before setting up assays, we kept cages for two generations under common garden conditions (room temperature: ~22°C, ~10:14 h light:dark, ~50% humidity). Thus, phenotypes were measured after a total of 12 generations of recombination.

**PHENOTYPE ASSAYS**

Assays reported here were performed in our laboratory in Larusanne; independent assays were performed under constant environmental conditions by Betancourt et al. (2018), thus allowing us to assess the reproducibility of our results and to identify potential differences in assay conditions between laboratories (cf. Ackermann et al. 2001).

In generation 13 (see above), we assayed flies for viability, size, starvation resistance, and lipid content. Phenotypes were assayed under four environmental conditions, using a fully factorial two-way design: two rearing temperatures (18°C, 25°C) by two commonly used diets that differ mainly in their sugar source (sucrose [cornmeal–agar–yeast–sucrose] vs. molasses [cornmeal–agar–yeast–molasses] diet and their protein:carbohydrate ratio (P:C ~1:3.6 vs. ~1:12.3, respectively; see Table S2, for details of nutrient content and media recipes). To initiate assays, we collected ~6400 eggs from each cage, distributed them across 32 bottles (each with 200 eggs; 25 mL medium), and allocated eight bottles to each of the four conditions (8 bottles × 8 cages × 4 conditions = 256 bottles). For all assays (except viability; see below), we collected eclosed adults in 48-h cohorts, allowed them to mate for four days under their respective thermal and dietary conditions, sexed them under light CO₂ anesthesia four to six days posteclosion, and transferred them to fresh vials 24 h prior to assays. Flies used for size assays were stored at –20°C until measurement.

Viability (egg-to-adult survival) was calculated as the proportion of adult flies successfully developing from eggs by collecting 600 eggs per cage and placing them into vials containing 8 mL of medium, with 30 eggs per vial (5 vials × 8 cages × 4 conditions = 160 vials).

Body size was examined by measuring three proxies: wing area, thorax length, and femur length (N = 26–30 wings, 9–15 thoraces, and 19–21 femurs per cage, treatment, and sex). Right wings and femurs were mounted on slides with CC/Mount™ tissue mounting medium (Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, USA) and slides sealed with cover slips. Thorax length was defined as the lateral distance between the upper tip of the thorax and the end of the scutellar plate (N = 10–15 individuals per cage, treatment, and sex). Images for morphometric measurements were taken with a digital camera (Leica DFC 290) attached to a stereo dissecting microscope (Leica MZ 125; Leica Microsystems GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany). We used ImageJ software (version 1.47) to measure femur and thorax length (mm) and to define landmarks for calculating wing area (mm²). To measure wing area, we defined 12 landmarks located at various vein intersections along the wing; the total area encompassed by these landmarks was estimated using a custom-made Python script (available upon request). In brief, we split the polygon defined by the landmarks up into triangles and summed across their areas (Fig. S4). Thorax and femur (but not wing area) measurements were repeated three times per individual (see below for estimates of “repeatability”). From these data, we calculated the ratio of wing area:thorax length, which is inversely related to “wing loading” (Azvedo et al. 1998; Gilchrist et al. 2000); reduced wing loading (i.e., increased wing dimensions relative to body size) can improve flight performance at low temperature (Frazier et al. 2008).

To measure starvation resistance (i.e., survival upon starvation), we placed flies into vials containing 0.5% agar/water medium and scored the duration of survival (h) upon starvation every 6 h until all flies had died (N = 5 vials × 10 flies per vial × 2 sexes × 8 cages × 4 conditions = 320 vials or 3200 flies).

Because there is typically a positive correlation between starvation resistance and lipid content (Hoffmann and Harshman 1999), we also determined whole-body triacylglyceride content (in μg per fly) using a serum triglyceride determination kit (Sigma–Aldrich; Tennessen et al. 2014). For each cage and treatment, triglyceride content was estimated from five to seven days old females, either kept under fed or starved (24 h) conditions, by preparing 10 replicate homogenates, each made from two flies (8 cages × 4 conditions × 2 treatments × 10 replicates = 640 homogenates). To estimate fat loss upon starvation, we
calculated the difference between fat content under fed versus starved conditions, using treatment (fed vs. starved) means from each population cage (mean fat loss per fly, in µg).

**QRT-PCR ANALYSIS OF INSULIN SIGNALING STATE**

A well-established transcriptional read-out of FOXO signaling is the InR: Under conditions of high insulin (e.g., after a meal), InR synthesis is repressed by a feedback mechanism controlled by FOXO; conversely, under conditions of low insulin, activation of FOXO leads to upregulation of InR mRNA (Puig et al. 2003; Puig and Tjian 2005). To test whether the foxo alleles differ in IIS state, we performed qRT-PCR, measuring InR mRNA abundance. For each cage and treatment, we extracted total RNA from five to seven days old snap-frozen females in triplicate, with each replicate prepared from five flies. RNA was extracted with the RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and reverse transcribed with the GoScript Reverse Transcription System (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, USA). From each triplicate biological sample we prepared 3 technical replicates (8 cages × 4 conditions × 3 biological replicates × 3 technical replicates = 288 samples). Relative transcript abundance was normalized by using Actin5C as an endogenous control (Ponton et al. 2011). qRT-PCR was carried out using a QuantStudio 6 Flex Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA) and SYBR Green GoTaq qPCR Master Mix (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, USA). Thermal cycling was conducted at 95°C for 2 min, followed by 42 cycles of amplification at 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min, and using the following melting curve: 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 1 min, and 95°C for 15 s. Quantification of relative abundance for each sample was based on the ΔCT method. We used the following primer sequences (Casas-Tinto et al. 2007; Ponton et al. 2011): Actin5C forward, 5'-GGCTGGTCATATCTCCAATCTT-3'; Actin5C reverse, 5'-AAGCTGCAACCTCTTCGTCA-3'; InR forward, 5'-CACAAAGCTGGAAGAAAGTGC-3'; InR reverse, 5'-CAAACACGTTCGATAATTTTTCT-3'.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Analyses were performed with JMP (SAS, Raleigh, NC, USA; version 11.1.1). Data were analyzed with analysis of variance (ANOVA), testing the fixed effects of allele (A; HL vs. LL), temperature (T; 18°C vs. 25°C), diet (D; sucrose vs. molasses), set (S; independent blocks of DGRP lines) nested within A, replicate cage (C) nested within the combination of A and S, and all two- and three-way interactions: y = A + T + D + A × T + A × D + T × D + A × T × D + S(A) + C(A,S), where y denotes the response variable (trait). For simplicity, the sexes were analyzed separately (i.e., to reduce the number of higher order interactions).

For starvation resistance, we measured age at death from multiple individuals per replicate vial; we thus estimated and accounted for the random effect of vial (V), nested within the combination of A, S, and C, using restricted maximum likelihood (REML) (see Supporting Information for these estimates). Viability (proportion) data were arcsine square root transformed prior to analysis. ANOVA on thorax and femur length data was performed using means across three measures per individual. From the repeat measurements of these traits on the same individuals, we estimated the “repeatability” of our measurements (i.e., the intraclass correlation; see Whitlock and Schluter 2009) by performing random-effects ANOVAs with REML. Overall, repeatability was very high for femur length (~91.9% for females; 94.4% for males) but less so for thorax length (~29.9% for females; 36.6% for males) (details not shown). Because wings and thoraces were measured on separate individuals, analysis of wing/thorax ratio was performed on population (cage) means. For fat content, we included the fixed effect of starvation treatment (Tr; fed vs. starved); interactions involving A and Tr (i.e., A × Tr; A × D × Tr) test for allelic differences in fat loss upon starvation. For simplicity, this analysis was performed separately for the two rearing temperatures.

To estimate the magnitude of the allelic effects of the foxo polymorphism upon the assayed fitness components, we calculated Cohen’s d (Table S3), a standardized measure of effect size (i.e., a signal to noise ratio, defined as the difference between two means divided by their pooled standard deviation; Cohen 1988; Sawilowsky 2009). Low values of Cohen’s d (e.g., 0.01) are commonly interpreted as representing very small effect sizes, whereas effect sizes >0.8 are interpreted as being qualitatively large to very large (Sawilowsky 2009).

We also estimated the relative contribution of the foxo polymorphism assayed in our laboratory to the overall phenotypic cline for wing area, recently measured on flies from six populations along the North American east coast (Betancourt et al. 2018; using flies assayed on molasses diet at 25°C). We calculated the proportional contribution of the polymorphism to the overall cline as follows: \( \Delta_{\text{foxo}} \times \Delta_{\text{frequency}} / \Delta_{\text{cline}} \), where \( \Delta_{\text{foxo}} \) is the difference in mean wing area between the HL and LL alleles, \( \Delta_{\text{frequency}} \) is the allele frequency gradient for the polymorphism between cline ends (Maine vs. Florida, ~60%), and \( \Delta_{\text{cline}} \) is the difference in mean wing area between cline ends.

**PREDICTIONS**

Here we make some qualitative predictions for the expected behavior of the foxo polymorphism with regard to (1) clinal phenotypic effects, (2) patterns of trait covariation determined by IIS, and (3) plasticity, G × E, and local adaptation. We compare our results to these predictions in the Results section below.

1. Latitudinal clinality. Traits that have been found to covary positively with latitude include, for example, faster development, lower egg-to-adult survival (viability), increased body
size, reduced wing loading, reduced fecundity, prolonged lifespan, and increased resistance to starvation, cold, and heat stress (e.g., Coyne and Beecham 1987; Azevedo et al. 1998; Bochdanovits and de Jong 2003a; de Jong and Bochdanovits 2003; Schmidt et al. 2005a,b; Folguera et al. 2008; Schmidt and Paaby 2008; Bhan et al. 2014; Mathur and Schmidt 2017; Durmaz et al. 2018). For some traits, clinal patterns have been observed in a parallel fashion on multiple continents, but there can also be major differences among continents (e.g., see discussion in Fabian et al. 2015); for example, contrasting predictions have been made for viability (van ‘t Land et al. 1999), starvation resistance (Karan et al. 1998; Robinson et al. 2000; Hoffmann et al. 2005; Goenaga et al. 2013), and heat tolerance (Hoffmann et al. 2002; Sgrò et al. 2010).

In general, we would expect that the effects of the HL and LL foxo alleles agree with the overall phenotypic patterns across latitude, especially for those traits that have previously been examined along the North American cline (e.g., Coyne and Beecham 1987; Schmidt and Paaby 2008; Paaby et al. 2014; Kapun et al. 2016a; Mathur and Schmidt 2017; Durmaz et al. 2018).

(2) IIS. Traits that are associated with reduced IIS include reduced body size, increased lifespan, resistance to starvation and cold, increased fat content, reduced fecundity, and activation of FOXO (Tatar et al. 2001, 2003; Oldham and Hafen 2003; Broughton et al. 2005; Telemen 2010). For example, loss-of-function (LOF) mutants of foxo exhibit (depending on the allele) prolonged development, reduced weight, smaller wing size, reduced fecundity, shortened lifespan, and reduced survival upon oxidative and starvation stress (Jünger et al. 2003; Kramer et al. 2003, 2008; Giannakou et al. 2004; Hwangbo et al. 2004; Giannakou et al. 2008; Slack et al. 2011); the effects of IIS (or of foxo) on viability are, however, not well understood. Conversely, overexpression of foxo has opposite effects on most of these traits (e.g., increased lifespan), yet—like LOF alleles—causes decreased size (Kramer et al. 2003; Puig et al. 2003; Hwangbo et al. 2004; Kramer et al. 2008; Tang et al. 2011).

We predict that the naturally occurring foxo alleles tested here differ consistently along this IIS/foxo axis of trait covariation. Notably, traits observed in flies from HL versus LL populations in North America resemble those of flies with low versus high IIS, respectively (e.g., de Jong and Bochdanovits 2003; Flatt et al. 2013; Paaby et al. 2014): lower fecundity, improved stress resistance, and longer lifespan observed in HL flies are traits that tend to be co-expressed in IIS mutants; however, flies from HL populations are larger than LL flies, yet reduced IIS causes smaller size.

(3) Plasticity, G × E, and local adaptation. With regard to thermal effects, we would expect flies raised at lower temperature to exhibit prolonged development, reduced viability, larger size, reduced wing loading, lower fecundity, increased lifespan, and improved starvation resistance (David et al. 1994; Partridge et al. 1994a, 1994b; James and Partridge 1995; Bochdanovits and de Jong 2003b; Trotta et al. 2006; Folguera et al. 2008; Klepsatel et al. 2013, 2014; Mathur and Schmidt 2017; cf. Hoffmann et al. 2005 for a contrasting prediction for starvation survival).

With respect to dietary effects, higher P:C ratios, for instance, might be expected to cause increased viability, larger size but reduced starvation resistance (Lee and Jang 2014; Lihoreau et al. 2016; Reis 2016). In terms of G × E, genotypes from temperate, seasonal HL habitats might be more plastic than those from LL habitats (Overgaard et al. 2011; Klepsatel et al. 2013); if so, patterns of differential plasticity between HL and LL alleles might be consistent with patterns of local adaptation (Mathur and Schmidt 2017).

Results

The clinal foxo polymorphism examined here (or causative SNPs in LD with it; see caveat in the Methods section) impacted all fitness components assayed (Table 1; Tables S3 and S4), including significant effects on egg-to-adult survival (viability) (qualitatively moderate to large effects, as measured by Cohen’s $d$), fecundity (very small to medium), wing area (medium), thorax length (very small to very large), starvation resistance (very small to medium), and lipid content (very small to large effects).

Allelic Variation at Foxo Affects Viability

The foxo polymorphism significantly affected viability, with the LL allele exhibiting higher egg-to-adult survival than the HL allele (Fig. 2; Table 1), consistent with observations suggesting that viability might be higher at LL (Folguera et al. 2008; but see van ‘t Land et al. 1999). Diet—but not temperature—also had an effect, with viability being higher on sucrose than on molasses diet (Fig. 2; Table 1). We did not find any evidence for G × E interactions affecting this trait.

Clinal Foxo Alleles Differ in Body Size

Because both latitude and IIS affect size (de Jong and Bochdanovits 2003), we next examined three proxies of body size (wing area, thorax, and femur length). The HL allele conferred larger femur length (Fig. 3; Table 1; in females but not males), wing area (Fig. S5; Table S4), and wing:thorax ratio than the LL allele (Fig. 4; Table 1; for thorax data, see Fig. S6; Table S4). These results are consistent with the positive size cline
Table 1. Summary of ANOVA results for viability, femur length, wing area:thorax length ratio, and female starvation resistance (also cf. Table S5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Proportion viability</th>
<th>Femur length</th>
<th>Wing area:thorax length ratio</th>
<th>Starvation resistance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allele</td>
<td>$F_{1,32} = 20.65^{***}$</td>
<td>$F_{1,32} = 16.66^{***}$</td>
<td>$F_{1,4} = 46.64^{***}$</td>
<td>$F_{1,32} = 23.86^{***}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>$F_{1,114} = 3.24$</td>
<td>$F_{1,1923} = 1617.80^{***}$</td>
<td>$F_{1,18} = 477.45^{***}$</td>
<td>$F_{1,1547} = 732.08^{***}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet</td>
<td>$F_{1,114} = 8.43^{**}$</td>
<td>$F_{1,1923} = 144.72^{***}$</td>
<td>$F_{1,18} = 50.35^{***}$</td>
<td>$F_{1,1547} = 129.99^{***}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allele × Temperature</td>
<td>$F_{1,114} = 2.25$</td>
<td>$F_{1,1923} = 0.36$</td>
<td>$F_{1,18} = 0.14$</td>
<td>$F_{1,1547} = 3.43$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature × Diet</td>
<td>$F_{1,114} = 1.85$</td>
<td>$F_{1,1923} = 13.26^{***}$</td>
<td>$F_{1,18} = 16.64^{***}$</td>
<td>$F_{1,1547} = 14.81^{***}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allele × Diet</td>
<td>$F_{1,114} = 1.71$</td>
<td>$F_{1,1923} = 3.28$</td>
<td>$F_{1,18} = 0.21$</td>
<td>$F_{1,1547} = 16.22^{***}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allele × Temperature × Diet</td>
<td>$F_{1,114} = 0.39$</td>
<td>$F_{1,1923} = 6.41^{*}$</td>
<td>$F_{1,18} = 8.34^{**}$</td>
<td>$F_{1,1547} = 1.63$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set (Allele)</td>
<td>$F_{2,32} = 2.50$</td>
<td>$F_{2,32} = 5.89^{**}$</td>
<td>$F_{2,4} = 6.86^{**}$</td>
<td>$F_{2,32} = 45.24^{***}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cage (Set, Allele)</td>
<td>$F_{4,32} = 61.25^{***}$</td>
<td>$F_{4,32} = 37.43^{***}$</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$F_{4,32} = 11.17^{***}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. White and gray cells show results for females and males, respectively.

$^* P < 0.05; ^{**} P < 0.01; ^{***} P < 0.001$

Figure 2. Viability (egg-to-adult survival). Effects of the clinal foxo variant on the proportion viability (egg-to-adult survival). (A) Dietary reaction norms at 18°C. (B) Dietary reaction norms at 25°C. (C) Thermal reaction norms measured on sucrose diet. (D) Thermal reaction norms measured on molasses diet. Data in (A, B) are the same as those shown in (C, D). Shown are means and standard errors. Red lines: low-latitude (LL) allele, blue lines: high-latitude (HL) allele.
in North America (Coyne and Beecham 1987) and with reduced wing loading at HL (Azevedo et al. 1998; Bhan et al. 2014). Remarkably, with regard to wing area, we estimate that the *foxo* polymorphism makes a proportional contribution of ~14% to the total cline for wing area (females: $\Delta_{foxo} \times \Delta_{\text{frequency}}/\Delta_{\text{cline}} \approx 0.017 \times 0.6/0.074 \approx 0.138$; males: $\Delta_{foxo} \times \Delta_{\text{frequency}}/\Delta_{\text{cline}} \approx 0.019 \times 0.6/0.083 \approx 0.137$)—this represents a major contribution to the wing size cline along the North American east coast (Coyne and Beecham 1987; Betancourt et al. 2018).

For all size traits, females were larger than males (Fig. 3; Fig. 4; Table 1; Fig. S5; Fig. S6; Table S4), as is typically observed. With regard to the plastic effects of temperature, femur
length, thorax length, and wing area were larger at 18°C than at 25°C (Fig. 3; Fig. S5, Fig. S6; Table 1; Table S4), as is expected based on previous work (David et al. 1994; Partridge et al. 1994a). In terms of dietary plasticity, femur and thorax length were larger on sucrose than on molasses diet (Fig. 3; Table 1; Fig. S6; Table S4), perhaps in line with the observation that more carbohydrate-rich diets cause smaller size (Reis 2016); however, wing area and wing:thorax ratio were larger on molasses than on sucrose diet (Fig. S5; Table S4; Fig. 4; Table 1). Although we found a few G \times E interactions for size traits (Figs. 4 and 5; Table 1; Fig. S5; Fig. S6; Table S4), the allelic reaction norms were overall remarkably parallel across environmental conditions.

**POLYMORPHISM AT FOXO IMPACTS STARVATION AND FAT CATABOLISM**

The *foxo* alleles also differed in their effects on resistance to (survival of) starvation in females (Fig. 5; Table 1), as might be expected based on the observation that *foxo* mutants are more starvation sensitive than wildtype (Jünger et al. 2003; Kramer et al. 2003, 2008). However, contrary to clinal predictions (e.g., Schmidt and Paaby 2008; Mathur and Schmidt 2017), LL females were more resistant than HL females (Fig. 5; Table 1), suggesting a countergradient effect; in males, there were no allelic differences in resistance (Fig. S7; Table S4; for estimates of the variance components of the random effect of vial see Table S5). Overall females were more resistant than males (Fig. 5; Table 1; Fig. S7; Table S4), consistent with some but not other studies (Goenaga et al. 2010; but see Matzkin et al. 2009). For both females and males, starvation resistance was higher at 18°C than at 25°C (Fig. 5; Table 1; Fig. S7; Table S4), as previously reported (Mathur and Schmidt 2017). Flies raised on molasses diet were more resistant than those raised on sucrose diet (Fig. 5; Table 1; Fig. S7; Table S4), potentially in support of the finding that lower P:C ratios favor higher resistance (Chippindale et al. 1993; Lee and Jang 2014). We also found evidence for an allele by diet interaction: allelic differences in resistance were more pronounced on molasses than sucrose diet (Fig. 5; Table 1; Fig. S7; Table S4).

To further examine the physiological basis of starvation resistance, we quantified how much fat female flies mobilize upon starvation (Fig 6; Table 2; males were not examined because they did not show allelic differences in resistance). Paralleling our result that LL females are more resistant than HL females, the amount of fat catabolized under starvation was greater in LL than in HL females, under almost all conditions (except for females raised on sucrose diet at 25°C; see Fig. 6 and Table 2: significant allele by diet by starvation treatment interaction at 25°C but not at 18°C). Fat loss upon starvation was greater for flies raised on molasses than on sucrose diet (Fig 6; Table 2), again matching the results for starvation resistance itself.

**FOXO ALLELES DIFFER IN TRANSCRIPTIONAL FEEDBACK CONTROL OF INR**

From the above patterns, we predicted that the LL allele would exhibit decreased IIS and increased FOXO activity: The LL allele
Figure 6. Fat loss upon starvation. Effects of the clinal foxo variant on female triglyceride loss upon starvation (µg/fly). (A) Dietary reaction norms at 18°C. (B) Dietary reaction norms at 25°C. (C) Thermal reaction norms measured on sucrose diet. (D) Thermal reaction norms measured on molasses diet. Data in (A, B) are the same as those shown in (C, D). Shown are means and (propagated) standard errors. Red lines: low-latitude (LL) allele, blue lines: high-latitude (HL) allele.

Table 2. ANOVA results for female fat loss upon starvation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Fat content</th>
<th>18°C</th>
<th>25°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allele</td>
<td></td>
<td>F,132 = 0.02</td>
<td>F,132 = 1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet</td>
<td></td>
<td>F,1301 = 70.97***</td>
<td>F,1300 = 310.82***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td>F,1301 = 223.48***</td>
<td>F,1300 = 130.68***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allele × Diet</td>
<td></td>
<td>F,1301 = 20.58***</td>
<td>F,1300 = 6.93***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet × Treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td>F,1301 = 25.46***</td>
<td>F,1300 = 21.31***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allele × Treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td>F,1301 = 7.01**</td>
<td>F,1300 = 1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allele × Diet × Treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td>F,1301 = 0</td>
<td>F,1300 = 7.03**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set (Allele)</td>
<td>F,2,32 = 13.11***</td>
<td>F,2,32 = 4.24*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cage (Set, Allele)</td>
<td>F,4,32 = 9.46***</td>
<td>F,4,32 = 1.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. The fixed factor “Treatment” has two levels: fed versus starved; interactions involving the factors “Allele” and “Treatment” test for allelic differences in fat catabolism.

Discussion

CONNECTING ADAPTIVE CLINAL PHENOTYPES TO GENOTYPES

Here we have studied the life-history effects of a strongly clinally varying, presumably adaptive polymorphism in the IIS gene foxo, a naturally segregating variant identified from our previous genomic analysis of the North American latitudinal cline (Fabian et al. 2012).

As hypothesized by de Jong and Bochdanovits (2003), genes of the IIS/TOR pathway might represent particularly promising candidates underlying clinal life-history adaptation in D. melanogaster: (1) laboratory mutants in this pathway often mirror life-history traits and trade-offs observed in natural populations (Clancy et al. 2001; Tatar et al. 2001; Tatar and Yin 2001; de Jong and Bochdanovits 2003; Tatar et al. 2003; Paaby et al. 2010; Flatt et al. 2013; Paaby et al. 2014; Flatt and Partridge 2018); (2) reproductive dormancy in response to cool temperature and short photoperiod, a genetically variable and clinal trait (Williams and Sokolowski 1993; Schmidt et al. 2005a,b; Schmidt and Conde 2006; Schmidt and Paaby 2008), is physiologically regulated by IIS (Williams et al. 2006; Flatt et al. 2013; Kubrak et al. 2014; Schiesari et al. 2016; Zhao et al. 2016; Andreatta et al. 2018);
(3) genomic analyses of clinal differentiation has identified many clinal SNPs in the IIS/TOR pathway presumably shaped by spatially varying selection (Fig. 1; Kolaczkowksi et al. 2011; Fabian et al. 2012; Kapun et al. 2016b); and (4) genome-wide analyses of variation in size-related traits have identified novel regulators of growth, several of which interact with the IIS/TOR pathway (Vonesch et al. 2016; Strassburger et al. 2017). For example, in support of the idea that variation in IIS contributes to clinal adaptation in D. melanogaster, Paaby and colleagues have identified a clinal indel polymorphism in InR with pleiotropic effects on development, body size, fecundity, lifespan, oxidative stress resistance, chill coma recovery, and insulin signaling (Paaby et al. 2010, 2014). Our results on foxo lend further support to the hypothesis of de Jong and Bochdanovits (2003).

**THE EFFECTS OF NATURAL VERSUS NULL ALLELES AT THE FOXO LOCUS**

Previous work with loss-of-function mutants and transgenes has uncovered a major role of foxo in the regulation of growth, lifespan and resistance to starvation, and oxidative stress (Jünger et al. 2003; Kramer et al. 2003; Puig et al. 2003; Giannakou et al. 2004; Hwangbo et al. 2004; Kramer et al. 2008; Slack et al. 2011), but nothing is known yet about the effects of natural alleles at this locus. An important distinction in this context is that null mutants, by definition, reveal the complete set of functions and phenotypes of a given gene and may therefore be highly pleiotropic, whereas “evolutionarily relevant” mutations or alleles might have much more subtle effects, with little or no pleiotropy (Stern 2000). Based on our knowledge of the traits affected by foxo in null mutants and transgenes (Jünger et al. 2003; Kramer et al. 2003, 2008; Slack et al. 2011), we measured how the clinal 2-SNP variant affects size traits and starvation resistance.

Although we could not predict with certainty the directionality and/or the degree of pleiotropy of the allelic effects *a priori*, we found that the foxo polymorphism differentially affects several size-related traits and starvation resistance, phenotypes known to be affected by the foxo locus. With regard to growth and size, our findings from natural variants agree well with functional genetic studies showing that genetic manipulations of the foxo locus affect body size and wing area (Jünger et al. 2003; Slack et al. 2011; Tang et al. 2011). Similarly, our observation that variation at foxo affects survival and fat content upon starvation is consistent with the fact that foxo mutants display reduced starvation resistance (Jünger et al. 2003; Kramer et al. 2003, 2008). In contrast, although foxo null mutants produce viable adults (Jünger et al. 2003; Slack et al. 2011), whether distinct foxo alleles vary in viability has not yet been examined; here we find that the two natural alleles differ in egg-to-adult survival. We also asked whether the alleles differentially affect mRNA abundance of InR, a transcriptional target of FOXO (Puig et al. 2003; Puig and Tjian 2005). Indeed, the LL allele had higher InR mRNA levels, consistent with the LL genotype exhibiting reduced IIS and higher FOXO activity.

For most traits measured, both alleles reactedplastically to changes in diet and temperature in the direction predicted from previous work (Partridge et al. 1994a,b; Lee and Jang 2014; Lihoreau et al. 2016; Mathur and Schmidt 2017), yet we found very little evidence for allele by environment (G × E) interactions.

Although our experimental design does not allow us to disentangle the contribution of the two individual SNPs to the total effects seen for the foxo polymorphism, our results suggest that the naturally occurring alternative alleles at foxo we have examined here—and which are defined by only two linked SNP positions—can apparently have quite strong pleiotropic (or, via LD, correlational) effects upon multiple complex life-history traits, including on viability, several proxies of size, and on starvation resistance (for estimates of allelic effect sizes see Table S4). This is consistent with the pleiotropic effects seen in foxo loss-of-function mutant alleles (see references above) and might support the idea that the architecture of life-history traits, which are connected via multiple trade-offs, is inherently pleiotropic (Williams 1957; Finch and Rose 1995; Flatt et al. 2005; Flatt and Promislow 2007; Flatt and Schmidt 2009; Flatt et al. 2013; Paaby et al. 2014); it also provides a contrast to the model from evo-devo that posits that most evolutionarily relevant mutations should exhibit little or no pleiotropy (Stern 2011). In particular, the pleiotropic effects of the foxo variant might explain why this polymorphism might be maintained, through some form of balancing selection, in natural populations along the cline.

**INSULIN SIGNALING, CLINALITY, AND COUNTERGRADIENT VARIATION**

How does the foxo variant contribute to phenotypic clines observed across latitude? HL flies tend to be characterized, for example, by larger body size, decreased fecundity, longer lifespan, and improved stress resistance as compared to LL flies, and this differentiation is genetically based (Coyne and Beecham 1987; Schmidt et al. 2005a,b; Schmidt and Paaby 2008; Mathur and Schmidt 2017; Durmaz et al. 2018). Do the allelic effects go in the same direction as the latitudinal gradient, representing cogradient variation, or do certain allelic effects run counter to the cline, representing countergradient variation (Levins 1968; Conover and Schultz 1995)? Cogradient variation occurs when diversifying selection favors different traits in different environments, as expected from selection along a cline, whereas countergradient variation occurs when stabilizing selection favors similar traits in different environments (Conover and Schultz 1995; Marcil et al. 2006).

Consistent with clinal expectation, the HL allele confers larger size (Coyne and Beecham 1987; de Jong and Bochdanovits
InR longevity neurofibromin variant contributes to the observed phenotypic cline in Drosophila polymorphism examined here. The directionality of IIS effects along the cline thus remains difficult to predict.

As noted by Lee et al. (2013) and Paaby et al. (2014), clinal variants subject to countergradient effects might interact epistatically with other loci affecting the trait, or they might be affected by antagonistic selection pressures (Schluter et al. 1991). Conflicting selection pressures on clinal variants might be particularly acute when they exhibit pleiotropic effects on multiple traits, as is the case for the polymorphisms at Nf1, InR, and foxo. These examples illustrate the complexity of dissecting clinal selection and the genotype–phenotype map underlying clinal adaptation (Lee et al. 2013; Paaby et al. 2014; Flatt 2016).

With regard to starvation resistance, a caveat is that we found the LL allele to be more resistant, whereas Betancourt et al. (2018) found the HL allele to be more resistant. This discrepancy might be due to differences in assay protocols: Betancourt et al. (2018) did not use agar that could impose some desiccation in addition to starvation stress. Interestingly, desiccation resistance is known to vary latitudinally along the North America east coast (Rajpurohit et al. 2018), but whether the foxo polymorphism examined here affects survival upon desiccation remains unknown and awaits future study.

GROWING EVIDENCE FOR A ROLE OF IIS IN LIFE-HISTORY ADAPTATION

The IIS pathway provides an excellent example of how mechanistic and evolutionary insights might be combined to gain a more complete understanding of the ultimate and proximate determinants of life-history adaptation (Finch and Rose 1995; Houle 2001; Flatt and Heyland 2011). Since the 1990s, a great deal has been learned about the genetic, developmental, and physiological effects of this pathway in model organisms. This work has shown that IIS mutants affect major fitness-related traits, and this in turn has illuminated our understanding of the molecular underpinnings of growth, size, lifespan, and trade-offs (Partridge and Gems 2002; Tatar et al. 2003; Flatt et al. 2005; Flatt and Heyland 2011; Flatt et al. 2013). In particular, these studies have revealed that the IIS pathway plays an evolutionarily conserved role in the physiological regulation of longevity (Partridge and Gems 2002; Tatar et al. 2003); they have also given us some of the clearest examples of alleles exhibiting antagonistic pleiotropy (Williams 1957; Flatt and Promislow 2007; and references above).

The functional characterization of this pathway therefore promised an opportunity for evolutionary geneticists to identify natural variants involved in life-history evolution (de Jong and Bochdanovits 2003). Yet, “life history loci” identified via functional genetic analysis need not necessarily contribute to standing variation for these traits in the wild (Flatt 2004; Flatt and Schmidt 2009; Fabian et al. 2018). For some time, it thus remained unclear whether natural variation in this pathway impacts variation in fitness-related traits in natural populations (see Reznick 2005; Fabian et al. 2018).

Today, we have growing evidence that variation in IIS indeed can make an important contribution to life-history variation in flies and other insects, worms, fish, reptiles, and mammals, including effects on longevity in humans (e.g., de Jong and Bochdanovits 2003; Williams et al. 2006; Flachsbart et al. 2009; Suh et al. 2008; Willcox et al. 2008; Alvarez-Ponce et al. 2009; Sparkman et al. 2009, 2010; Paaby et al. 2010; Stuart and Page 2010; Dantzer and Swanson 2012; Jovelin et al. 2014; Paaby et al. 2014; Swanson and Dantzer 2014; McGaugh et al. 2015; Schwartz and Bronikowski 2016; Zhao et al. 2016; and references therein). On the other hand, “evolve and resequence” studies of Drosophila longevity have failed to find a major contribution of standing variation in IIS to evolved changes in life history and lifespan, perhaps suggesting
that the IIS pathway might be selectively constrained, at least with regard to the evolution of certain traits (e.g., Remolina et al. 2012; Fabian et al. 2018; Flatt and Partridge 2018). In sum, this body of work illustrates how one might be able to connect genotypes to molecular mechanisms to components of fitness by studying a fundamentally important physiological pathway from multiple angles (Finch and Rose 1995; Houle 2001; Flatt and Heyland 2011; Flatt et al. 2013).

**Conclusions**

Here we have found that a strongly clinal polymorphism at the foxo locus (which might be viewed as a marker for functionally significant alleles) has effects on several fitness components known to vary across latitude, including viability, size-related traits, starvation resistance, and fat content. The directionality of most of these effects matches overall phenotypic clines observed along the North American east coast, especially with regard to size (e.g., Coyne and Beecham 1987; Schmidt et al. 2005a,b; Schmidt and Paaby 2008; Durmaz et al. 2018). Together, our results thus suggest that standing variation in the IIS pathway makes an important and—at least partly—predictable contribution to life-history clines in *Drosophila.*
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**Figure S1.** Clinal *foxo* candidate SNPs. (A) Allele frequencies of clinal *foxo* SNPs in Florida (red), Pennsylvania (green) and Maine (blue), identified by Fabian et al.

**Figure S2.** PEST motif prediction for FOXO. The T/G polymorphism in *foxo* at position 3R:9894559, is predicted to be located in the PEST region of the FOXO protein (analysis of *foxo* sequence using ExPASy [Artimo et al., 2012]); PEST motifs serve as protein degradation signals (Artimo et al., 2012).

**Figure S3.** Experimental design for reconstituted outbred *foxo* populations.

**Figure S4.** Coordinates of landmarks used to estimate wing area.

**Figure S5.** Effects of the *foxo* variant on total wing area. Effects of the clinal *foxo* variant on wing area (mm²) in females and males.

**Figure S6.** Effects of the *foxo* variant on thorax length. Effects of the clinal *foxo* variant on thorax length (mm) in females and males.

**Figure S7.** Effects of the *foxo* variant on male survival upon starvation.

**Figure S8.** Effects of the *foxo* variant on relative abundance of insulin-like receptor (InR) transcription levels.

**Table S1.** Details of design of reconstituted outbred population cages. HL: high-latitude *foxo* allele; LL: low-latitude *foxo* allele. See Materials and Methods section for details.

**Table S2.** Nutritional value and composition of sucrose and molasses diets.

**Table S3.** Summary of effect size estimates (Cohen’s d) for viability, femur length, wing area, thorax length, starvation resistance, and fat (TAG) content.

**Table S4.** Summary of ANOVA results for wing area, thorax length, and male starvation resistance (also cf. Table S5).

**Table S5.** Summary of REML variance component estimates for starvation resistance. White and grey cells show results for females and males, respectively.

**Table S6.** Summary of ANOVA results for relative abundance of insulin-like receptor (InR) transcript levels.