

Complex Correlation Approach for High Frequency Financial Data

Mateusz Wilinski^{1,*}, Yuichi Ikeda², and Hideaki Aoyama²

¹*Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Pasteura 5, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland*

²*Graduate School of Advanced Integrated Studies in Human Survivability, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan*

³*Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan*

(Dated: June 20, 2017)

We propose a novel approach that allows to calculate Hilbert transform based complex correlation for unevenly spaced financial data. This method is especially suitable for high frequency data, which are of a particular interest in finance. Its most important feature is the ability to take into account lead-lag relations on different levels, without knowing them in advance. We also present results obtained with this approach while working on Tokyo Stock Exchange intraday quotations. We show that individual sectors and subsectors tend to form important market components which may follow each other with small but significant delays. These components may be recognized by analysing eigenvectors of complex correlation matrix for Nikkei 225 stocks.

I. INTRODUCTION

Describing interactions between parts of a system is a crucial element of the complexity science. World Wide Web, human brain, transportation networks, these are just few complex systems that attract the attention of researchers. The main tools used to investigate connections' properties in highly coupled systems are complex networks [1]. For many complex systems described with networks, it is clear what kind of relation is represented by a particular edge. In social networks, for example, it may be a friendship or a common work place. In some other cases, like scientific collaboration or city communication, we can even assign a weight to each connection [2]. There are, however, complex systems for which the relationship between any two elements is implicit. It is the case when we observe only the evolution of the system and at the same time we are unable to track the actual interactions and the way parts of the system influence each other. This applies to, among others, brain activity and financial markets. The latter will be the main topic of this paper.

In order to find the real structure of a system with hidden dependencies we need to analyse the observable outcome of system dynamics. For financial markets this outcome might be stock quotations and for brain activity it may be electroencephalography (EEG) signal. It is a common practice to use different measures that would be able to indicate which parts of the system are connected based on their signal similarity. In finance, the most popular measure is the Pearson correlation coefficient which proved to be successful in uncovering hierarchical structure of the market [3]. In other areas, like in mentioned EEG signal, it is often needed to develop other methods that would suit the specifics of the data [4].

Using correlation as a measure of dependency in financial data has several drawbacks. First, the correlation does not imply causation and its high values may be a consequence of indirect relations. Some papers tried to deal with these problems by using more sophisticated methods, like partial correlation [5] or mutual information [6]. Second, price movements of related stocks may be desynchronized. In other words, one stock may lead the other one which will be delayed. Traditional Pearson correlation will not be able to find this kind of lead-lag relations. This problem was approached by analysing shifted correlations [7]. Unfortunately, this methodology may be inefficient when dealing with high number of signals, since the delays in financial data may be different across different pairs of stocks. In this paper we propose a different approach, suited for high frequency financial data, which will not only take into account delays but also use them as an indicator of causation. Furthermore, we will use this approach to analyse intraday quotations data from Tokyo Stock Exchange (TSE).

*Electronic address: mateusz.wilinski@fuw.edu.pl

II. DATA

The data used in this paper consist of all the trades and all the best bid and best ask offers submitted to the TSE in the period between 1 January and 31 December 2014. We focused on the stocks that form the *Nikkei 225*, the most important stock market index for the TSE and one of the most significant indexes in Asia. We choose 222 stocks that were components of Nikkei in 2014 and were liquid enough for the analysis. For each stock i we calculated the mid price:

$$P_i(t) = \frac{A_i(t) + B_i(t)}{2}, \quad (1)$$

where $A_i(t)$ and $B_i(t)$ are respectively best ask and best bid for i th stock. From prices we get the logarithmic prices:

$$p_i(t) = \log(P_i(t)), \quad (2)$$

where $p_i(t)$ is a step function with steps at each quotation. We also grouped all the stocks into sectors and subsectors, according to the official Nikkei 225 website¹. See Table I for the names of sectors and subsectors, as well as the assigned colors and shapes used in further graphs.

III. METHODOLOGY

A nonparametric methodology of calculating covariance and correlation matrix for multivariate data was proposed and described in details in [8]. It can be used with unevenly spaced time series and it already showed some meaningful results for financial data [9]. The idea behind this method is based on Fourier transformation. Its major advantage is that it does not require any modifications in raw data. We will describe the way this approach is used with empirical data. More technical details can be found in the paper mentioned above. Let's denote the analyzed price process as $p_i(t) = \log P_i(t)$. Additionally, let us assume that this process is well defined on the time interval $[0, T]$. First, we rescale mentioned time interval into $[0, 2\pi]$. Second, we need to calculate Fourier coefficients of $dp_i(t)$, which are defined as follows:

$$a_k(dp_i) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(kt) dp_i(t),$$

$$b_k(dp_i) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin(kt) dp_i(t).$$

Assuming that $p_i(t)$ is a step function and t_m is the time of the m th quotation, the above integral becomes a summation:

$$a_k(dp_i) = \frac{p_i(t_N) - p_i(t_1)}{\pi} - \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} p_i(t_m) (\cos(kt_{m+1}) - \cos(kt_m)),$$

$$b_k(dp_i) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} p_i(t_m) (\sin(kt_{m+1}) - \sin(kt_m)),$$

where N is the number of quotations, $t_1 = 0$ and $t_N = 2\pi$. From these coefficients, we can obtain the log-returns variance-covariance matrix Σ_{ij} as a function of time. In our case though, we only want the average covariance on a particular time period. For this purpose, we only need the constant factor of the covariance Fourier representation, because other parts will reduce to zero in the process of averaging. A precise derivation of this constant part may be found in [8]. We will only present the final result:

$$a_0(\Sigma_{ij}) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\pi\tau}{T} \sum_{k=1}^{T/2\tau} [a_k(dp_i)a_k(dp_j) + b_k(dp_i)b_k(dp_j)], \quad (3)$$

¹ <http://indexes.nikkei.co.jp/en/nkave>

where τ determines the highest wave harmonic $\frac{T}{2\tau}$. In the case of our TSE data the minimum value of τ could be one second, because this is the data resolution. However, after analysing the distribution of time intervals between quotations, we decided to set $\tau = 1$ minute. This is consistent with findings from [10] where it was shown that the Fourier method gives the best estimates for τ larger than the minimal value. It should also be pointed out that taking a specific τ is not in any way equivalent with aggregating data into evenly spaced time series. Finally we can compute the covariance matrix estimator:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{ij}^2 = 2\pi a_0(\Sigma_{ij}), \quad (4)$$

and further also the correlation matrix:

$$\rho_{ij} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{ij}^2}{\hat{\sigma}_{ii} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{jj}}. \quad (5)$$

An important advantage of this estimator is that it gives accurate and smooth estimates and uses all the data available in the analysed series.

We can now use all the tick data available, but the problem of lead-lag relationships still holds. To overcome it, we will adopt and adjust to our needs ideas from the *Complex Hilbert Principal Component Analysis* (CHPCA) [11], mainly the Hilbert Transform. The CHPCA was used primarily in geophysics and meteorology. Recently, it also proved to be effective with financial data [12, 13]. The previous research, however, was made using daily data. Although it makes sense to suspect different countries indexes to have lead-lag relations that would span for periods longer than one day, it is rather not the case of stocks from the same market. The increased activity of intraday traders and hedge funds made the market much more efficient and synchronized [14]. As a result, one needs to use high frequency data in order to find out which stocks are leading others.

Hilbert transform is a linear operator that does not change the time domain of a transformed function. A formal definition of this operator is as follows:

$$H(Z, t) = p.v. \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{Z(s)}{t-s} ds, \quad (6)$$

where *p.v.* stands for Cauchy principal value and Z is some process that we want to transform. Hilbert transform, at particular time t , codes information about the future and past movement of transformed series. For this reason it is useful to use it when looking for correlations among different signals, especially if we expect that the relation may be lagged, but we don't know the specific lag-value or we know that this lag may vary over time. In order to keep the information about the time series value and its Hilbert transform, we produce a complexified time series. This new time series has complex values with the real part being the original value and the imaginary part being the Hilbert transform.

$$\hat{Z}(t) = Z(t) + iH(Z, t). \quad (7)$$

Such time series may now be used in order to obtain complex correlations, which should contain both the information about immediate and lagged correlations. Using Eq. (6) to obtain Hilbert transform of a time series, may be very difficult. Our goal, however, is to find the correlation matrix of complexified time series $\hat{Z}(t)$ and, as we will show, we do not need to know an explicit form of $H(Z, t)$. In our case $Z(t) = dp_i(t)$ and we already know how to obtain its Fourier coefficients that lead to estimating its correlation matrix. Moreover, Hilbert transform is additive and can be easily calculated for trigonometric functions, namely:

$$\begin{aligned} H(\sin(\cdot), x) &= -\cos(x), \\ H(\cos(\cdot), x) &= \sin(x). \end{aligned}$$

As a result, if we know Fourier coefficients of a given process we can easily calculate them also for its Hilbert transform:

$$\begin{aligned} a_k(H(Z)) &= -b_k(Z), \\ b_k(H(Z)) &= a_k(Z). \end{aligned}$$

This way, we can easily obtain Fourier coefficients of $\hat{Z}(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} a_k(\hat{Z}) &= a_k(Z) + a_k(H(Z)) = a_k(Z) - b_k(Z), \\ b_k(\hat{Z}) &= b_k(Z) + b_k(H(Z)) = b_k(Z) + a_k(Z). \end{aligned}$$

Finally we can use it with Eq. (3) and obtain:

$$a_0(\Sigma_{ij}) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\pi\tau}{T} \sum_{k=1}^{T/2\tau} [(a_k(dp_i) - ib_k(dp_i))(a_k(dp_j) + ib_k(dp_j)) + (b_k(dp_i) + ia_k(dp_i))(b_k(dp_j) - ia_k(dp_j))]. \quad (8)$$

Combining Eq. (3), (8), (4), (5) enable us to calculate the complex correlation matrix directly, with no numerical approximations. The precision of this estimate is limited only by the finite sample and data resolution. In particular, including Hilbert transformation part is done in an entirely analytical way.

We should point out that each element ρ_{kl} of the complex correlation matrix has a form:

$$\rho_{kl} = s_{kl}e^{-i\theta_{kl}}, \quad (9)$$

where s_{kl} is the magnitude of correlation and the θ_{kl} is the phase, which indicate the lead-lag relation between the stock k and the stock l . The last part of the data analysis done in this paper is the eigendecomposition of the correlation matrix. This is often used as a tool of the random matrix theory for financial data [15–17]. Since the complex correlation matrix ρ is Hermitian, we can write it in a following form:

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i V^{(i)} V^{(i)\dagger}, \quad (10)$$

where λ_i is the i th eigenvalue, $V^{(i)}$ is the corresponding eigenvector and \dagger denotes a complex conjugate. The complex principal components CP_i may be derived from eigenvectors according to the equation:

$$CP_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^N dp_j(t) V_j^{(i)}, \quad (11)$$

where dp_j is in our case the process of log returns. The important thing is that $V_j^{(i)}$ is actually the complex correlation between j th time series and the i th complex principal component.

IV. RESULTS

We start our analysis by looking at the eigenvalues of complex correlation matrix obtained for Nikkei 225 stock quotations in 2014. As it is shown in Fig. 1, there is one particular eigenvalue being extremely large in comparison to all the others. This observation is in accordance with previous works on financial data correlations [15–17]. It was often stated that the largest eigenvalue describe the so called *market mode*, which represents the overall movement of the market. This movement was often consistent with the most important index in the analysed market. Moreover, it was shown in [18] that this eigenvalue, when all the correlations are positive, is simply a linear function of the average correlation. In our case, correlations are complex but we checked that normal correlations are positive for data used in this paper. To find out, whether the same interpretation may be used for complex correlations, let us look at the first eigenvector, corresponding to the largest eigenvalue. Fig. 2 shows in panel a) that all the coefficients of the eigenvector, represented with complex points, group together on a small, nearly real and positive section in the complex plane. Each point corresponds to a certain stock and their colors and shapes represent sectors as shown in Table I. There is no sectoral structure, maybe apart from some stocks from the materials sector being closer to zero value. If we recall that the coefficients of each eigenvector are actually correlations between a particular stock and




FIG. 1: Histogram of the frequency of eigenvalues of complex correlation matrix for TSE intraday data (2014).

a principal component, we see that all the stocks are positively correlated with this component and there is nearly no delays, since phase difference is close to zero for all the points. This is exactly what we would expect from the market mode, which should lead all the stocks in a similar, positive manner.

If we look at further eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors, the situation changes. Eigenvectors coefficients tend to spread more on the complex plane and a structure connected with sectors appears. The second largest eigenvalue, shown in Fig. 2 panel b), is different. All the stocks are still close to real values, however, not as close as for the first eigenvalue and we see both positive and negative correlations. The highest positive ones are dominated by financials, whereas the negative ones have different sectors with materials slightly emerging. This component is probably still closely connected to the market but with important influence of the financial sector. Smaller eigenvalues exhibit even more interesting structures. We will show two examples, adding that similar, but with different drivers and dependences, structures are also observed for other eigenvalues. Analysing all of them separately, however, does not lay in the scope of this paper. First, let's look at Fig. 2 panel c), which shows eigenvector corresponding to the seventh largest eigenvalue. The main driver of this component is the financial sector, with its stocks having the largest correlation magnitude and having a nearly zero phase difference. After a closer look, we see that this component separates not only sectors but even subsectors. All the financial stocks on a far positive real axis are from the insurance subsector. Moreover, these are all the insurance companies in the Nikkei 225 index. The other financial institutions are rather negatively correlated with this component. This is in line with the intuition that insurance companies may benefit from losses related to the rest of the financial sector. The other groups highly correlated with this component are the gas and electricity subsectors. They seem to be leading this component, which might be surprising since it is represented mainly by insurance. On the other hand though, this component may be connected to households and their prosperity. Then it would make sense for it to be led by gas and electricity and be positively correlated with the insurance subsector. This kind of relations occur for many eigenvalues, far from the largest ones. In order to show that, we will take the thirteenth largest eigenvalue as the next example. Again, the eigenvector shown in Fig. 2 panel d), has a strong driver. This time those are stocks from the materials sector and again, they are actually from a much smaller subsector. Specifically, there are two stocks from the petroleum subsector (known also as oil and coal products) and the only stock from mining sector.


We note that there are no other stocks from these subsectors in our data. The other group with high correlations consists of several stocks from the transportation and utilities sector. And these stocks also correspond to a much smaller subsector, which is the marine transport. We argue that this complex principal component is representing fossil fuels. It is strongly correlated to mining, oil and coal products on one hand and it is following the marine transport on the other. In Japan, which lacks fossil fuels and needs to import them from other countries mainly through sea transportation, it is exactly what we would expect. As said before, similar analysis may be done for other complex eigenvectors obtained with our approach. Most of them would exhibit similar relations with other sectors and subsectors present among Mikkkei 225 stocks.

As a next step, we would like to show aggregated results, instead of analysing each eigen subspace separately. We suspect the complex correlation matrix to code information about sectors, in accordance with what we have seen so far. We will use a classical filtering method called the *Minimum Spanning Tree*, which was used considerable number of times with financial data [3, 9, 19–21]. Because our correlations are complex, we cannot use the usual formula for the distances in the correlation network. Instead, we will take correlations magnitude $s_{kl} = |\rho_{kl}|$ as the edge weight in the filtering algorithm, and calculate the *Maximum Spanning Tree* (MST), since we want to maximize correlations. Additionally, we will use the phase θ_{kl} in order to determine the direction of an edge. If $\theta_{kl} > 0$ ($\theta_{kl} < 0$) then the edge goes from l to k (k to l) and latter leads the previous one. Result of this procedure is shown in Fig. 3 panel a), with four digits numbers being the stocks tickers at TSE. We observe a significant sector and subsector clustering, which was already seen in many papers analysing correlations with MST. Nevertheless, there is a new outcome, coded by the edge direction and the phase difference. Different arrow colors are connected to the phase difference size. Black corresponds to small phase differences, red means that the phase difference is close to $\pi/2$ and orange is for phase differences around π or $-\pi$, which suggests negative correlations. Connections between stocks from the same sector are all black, whereas many intersector edges are orange. This indicates that inside of a sector, stocks tend to follow each other rather closely. On the other hand, there are strong, but probably negative correlations between stocks from different sectors. Another interesting observation is that highly connected stocks have much more arrows pointing at them than pointing outside. That means that they are actually leading the rest of the sector. To point out few examples, we see this for stocks: 7203 (Automobiles and Auto parts), 5401 (Steel products) and 8031 (Trading companies). This result is consistent with a frequent remark that the highly connected stocks in filtered correlation networks are actually the most significant ones. That is not the case for stocks that have a lot of connections to other sector stocks, like 9983 (Retail) for example. In order to check the statements made above, we also used a less restrictive filtering method, that leaves three times more connections but has the MST backbone among them. This method is called Planar Maximally Filtered Graph (PMFG) [22] and was often used as a filtering method for stock market dependencies [6, 23]. PMFG in Fig. 3 panel b), drawn without tickers to make the graph more readable, confirms the observations made on MST.


As a last remark, we present Fig. 4, which shows the dependence between the complex correlation magnitude and the complex correlation phase difference. As we can see in panel b), the highest correlations are between stocks from the same sector, and they are characterized by a small phase differences. The pairs with large phase differences and more significant correlations, on the other hand, are more likely to be from different sectors. This is in line with our findings in complex correlation based filtered graphs.

V. CONCLUSIONS


We presented a novel approach that combines a Fourier transform based method for calculating correlations in high frequency data, with a Hilbert transform based method of including lead-lag relations in a correlation measure. This way we propose a unique tool to work with unevenly spaced financial data. Moreover, the calculation of the complex correlation matrix for tick data is completely analytical and do not require any numerical approximations. We should point out, however, that as any other method, it is limited by the data resolution and affected by the finite size effects.




(a) Eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue.



(b) Eigenvector corresponding to the second largest eigenvalue.



(c) Eigenvector corresponding to the seventh largest eigenvalue.




(d) Eigenvector corresponding to the thirteenth largest eigenvalue.


FIG. 2: Chosen eigenvectors' coefficients obtained from complex correlation matrix.

We further used this approach with TSE intraday data and proved that it gives insightful information, especially when analysing eigenspace of the complex correlation matrix. We confirmed the dominance of sector relations in the market structure. We also showed that strongly correlated stocks follow each other with short lags. We also found that even though the lags showed by the phase differences are small, they suggest the stocks with higher correlations are the ones leading others. It is particularly seen for groups of stocks from the same sector and it is in line with the intuition that stocks with higher degree in filtered correlation network are the leading ones.

We believe that this method may and should be used with other types of data, in particular with financial data characterized by resolutions higher than one second. We need to point out however, that milliseconds data may exhibit correlations that are much less intuitive and not necessarily connected with sectors or other macroeconomic measures. As a result, it may be very difficult to interpret or even confirm the validity of obtained results. Nevertheless, we believe that this should be the next step of further research.



(a)Maximum Spanning Tree.



(b)Planar Maximally Filtered Graph.

FIG. 3: Filtered complex correlation graphs for TSE intraday data (2014). Nodes represent stocks from Nikkei 225 index and their colors represent sectors. Arrows indicate which stock is leading the other and their color corresponds to the phase difference between these stocks.




FIG. 4: Dependence between correlation magnitude and its phase for all pairs of stocks in Nikkei 225 index in 2014.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Science Centre under Grant 2015/19/N/ST2/02701. Authors would also like to thank Tomasz Raducha for insightful discussions and suggestions.

-
- [1] S. Boccaletti, V. Latora, Y. Moreno, M. Chavez, and D.-U. Hwang, *Physics reports* **424**, 175 (2006).
 - [2] A. Barrat, M. Barthelemy, R. Pastor-Satorras, and A. Vespignani, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **101**, 3747 (2004).
 - [3] R. N. Mantegna, *The European Physical Journal B-Condensed Matter and Complex Systems* **11**, 193 (1999).
 - [4] M. Kaminski and K. J. Blinowska, *Biological cybernetics* **65**, 203 (1991).
 - [5] D. Y. Kenett, M. Tumminello, A. Madi, G. Gur-Gershgoren, R. N. Mantegna, and E. Ben-Jacob, *PloS one* **5**, e15032 (2010).
 - [6] P. Fiedor, *Physical Review E* **89**, 052801 (2014).
 - [7] L. Kullmann, J. Kertész, and K. Kaski, *Physical Review E* **66**, 026125 (2002).
 - [8] P. Malliavin and M. E. Mancino, *Finance and Stochastics* **6**, 49 (2002).
 - [9] G. Iori and O. V. Precup, *Physical Review E* **75**, 036110 (2007).
 - [10] V. Mattiussi, M. Tumminello, G. Iori, and R. N. Mantegna (2011).
 - [11] J. D. Horel, *Journal of climate and Applied Meteorology* **23**, 1660 (1984).
 - [12] Y. Arai, T. Yoshikawa, and H. Iyetomi, *Procedia Computer Science* **60**, 1826 (2015).
 - [13] I. Vodenska, H. Aoyama, Y. Fujiwara, H. Iyetomi, and Y. Arai, *PloS one* **11**, e0150994 (2016).
 - [14] B. Tóth and J. Kertész, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **360**, 505 (2006).
 - [15] L. Laloux, P. Cizeau, J.-P. Bouchaud, and M. Potters, *Physical review letters* **83**, 1467 (1999).
 - [16] V. Plerou, P. Gopikrishnan, B. Rosenow, L. A. N. Amaral, and H. E. Stanley, *Physical Review Letters* **83**, 1471 (1999).
 - [17] A. Utsugi, K. Ino, and M. Oshikawa, *Physical Review E* **70**, 026110 (2004).
 - [18] S. Friedman and H. F. Weisberg, *Educational and Psychological Measurement* **41**, 11 (1981).
 - [19] J.-P. Onnela, A. Chakraborti, K. Kaski, J. Kertesz, and A. Kanto, *Physical Review E* **68**, 056110 (2003).
 - [20] G. Bonanno, F. Lillo, and R. N. Mantegna (2001).
 - [21] M. Wiliński, A. Sienkiewicz, T. Gubiec, R. Kutner, and Z. Struzik, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **392**, 5963 (2013).
 - [22] M. Tumminello, T. Aste, T. Di Matteo, and R. N. Mantegna, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **102**, 10421 (2005).
 - [23] G. Buccheri, S. Marmi, and R. N. Mantegna, *Physical Review E* **88**, 012806 (2013).

TABLE I: Sectors of Nikkei225 stocks.

	Machinery	Capital Goods and Others
	Shipbuilding	
	Other manufacturing	
	Construction	
	Real estate	
	Foods	Consumer Goods
	Fishery	
	Retail	
	Services	
	Banking	Financials
	Securities	
	Insurance	
	Other financial services	
	Textiles and apparel	Materials
	Pulp and paper	
	Chemicals	
	Rubber products	
	Trading companies	
	Petroleum	
	Glass and ceramics	
	Steel products	
	Nonferrous metals	
	Mining	
	Electric machinery	
	Automobiles and Auto parts	
	Precision instruments	
	Communications	
	Pharmaceuticals	
	Railway and bus	Transportation and Utilities
	Other land transport	
	Marine transport	
	Air transport	
	Warehousing	
	Electric power	
	Gas	